



Amazon-Web-Services

Exam Questions DOP-C02

AWS Certified DevOps Engineer - Professional

About ExamBible

Your Partner of IT Exam

Found in 1998

ExamBible is a company specialized on providing high quality IT exam practice study materials, especially Cisco CCNA, CCDA, CCNP, CCIE, Checkpoint CCSE, CompTIA A+, Network+ certification practice exams and so on. We guarantee that the candidates will not only pass any IT exam at the first attempt but also get profound understanding about the certificates they have got. There are so many alike companies in this industry, however, ExamBible has its unique advantages that other companies could not achieve.

Our Advances

* 99.9% Uptime

All examinations will be up to date.

* 24/7 Quality Support

We will provide service round the clock.

* 100% Pass Rate

Our guarantee that you will pass the exam.

* Unique Gurantee

If you do not pass the exam at the first time, we will not only arrange FULL REFUND for you, but also provide you another exam of your claim, ABSOLUTELY FREE!

NEW QUESTION 1

A DevOps engineer is designing an application that integrates with a legacy REST API. The application has an AWS Lambda function that reads records from an Amazon Kinesis data stream. The Lambda function sends the records to the legacy REST API.

Approximately 10% of the records that the Lambda function sends from the Kinesis data stream have data errors and must be processed manually. The Lambda function event source configuration has an Amazon Simple Queue Service (Amazon SQS) dead-letter queue as an on-failure destination. The DevOps engineer has configured the Lambda function to process records in batches and has implemented retries in case of failure.

During testing the DevOps engineer notices that the dead-letter queue contains many records that have no data errors and that already have been processed by the legacy REST API. The DevOps engineer needs to configure the Lambda function's event source options to reduce the number of errorless records that are sent to the dead-letter queue.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Increase the retry attempts
- B. Configure the setting to split the batch when an error occurs
- C. Increase the concurrent batches per shard
- D. Decrease the maximum age of record

Answer: B

Explanation:

This solution will meet the requirements because it will reduce the number of errorless records that are sent to the dead-letter queue. When you configure the setting to split the batch when an error occurs, Lambda will retry only the records that caused the error, instead of retrying the entire batch. This way, the records that have no data errors and have already been processed by the legacy REST API will not be retried and sent to the dead-letter queue unnecessarily.

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/lambda/latest/dg/with-kinesis.html>

NEW QUESTION 2

A company requires an RPO of 2 hours and an RTO of 10 minutes for its data and application at all times. An application uses a MySQL database and Amazon EC2 web servers. The development team needs a strategy for failover and disaster recovery.

Which combination of deployment strategies will meet these requirements? (Select TWO.)

- A. Create an Amazon Aurora cluster in one Availability Zone across multiple Regions as the data store. Use Aurora's automatic recovery capabilities in the event of a disaster.
- B. Create an Amazon Aurora global database in two Regions as the data store.
- C. In the event of a failure, promote the secondary Region as the primary for the application.
- D. Create an Amazon Aurora multi-master cluster across multiple Regions as the data store.
- E. Use a Network Load Balancer to balance the database traffic in different Regions.
- F. Set up the application in two Regions and use Amazon Route 53 failover-based routing that points to the Application Load Balancers in both Regions.
- G. Use health checks to determine the availability in a given Region.
- H. Use Auto Scaling groups in each Region to adjust capacity based on demand.
- I. Set up the application in two Regions and use a multi-Region Auto Scaling group behind Application Load Balancers to manage the capacity based on demand.
- J. In the event of a disaster, adjust the Auto Scaling group's desired instance count to increase baseline capacity in the failover Region.

Answer: BD

NEW QUESTION 3

An application runs on Amazon EC2 instances behind an Application Load Balancer (ALB). A DevOps engineer is using AWS CodeDeploy to release a new version. The deployment fails during the AllowTraffic lifecycle event, but a cause for the failure is not indicated in the deployment logs.

What would cause this?

- A. The appspec file contains an invalid script that runs in the AllowTraffic lifecycle hook.
- B. The user who initiated the deployment does not have the necessary permissions to interact with the ALB.
- C. The health checks specified for the ALB target group are misconfigured.
- D. The CodeDeploy agent was not installed in the EC2 instances that are part of the ALB target group.

Answer: C

Explanation:

This failure is typically due to incorrectly configured health checks in Elastic Load Balancing for the Classic Load Balancer, Application Load Balancer, or Network Load Balancer used to manage traffic for the deployment group. To resolve the issue, review and correct any errors in the health check configuration for the load balancer. <https://docs.aws.amazon.com/codedeploy/latest/userguide/troubleshooting-deployments.html#troubleshooting-deployments-allowtraffic-no-logs>

NEW QUESTION 4

A company runs an application on one Amazon EC2 instance. Application metadata is stored in Amazon S3 and must be retrieved if the instance is restarted. The instance must restart or relaunch automatically if the instance becomes unresponsive.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Create an Amazon CloudWatch alarm for the StatusCheckFailed metric.
- B. Use the recover action to stop and start the instance.
- C. Use an S3 event notification to push the metadata to the instance when the instance is back up and running.
- D. Configure AWS OpsWorks, and use the auto healing feature to stop and start the instance.
- E. Use a lifecycle event in OpsWorks to pull the metadata from Amazon S3 and update it on the instance.
- F. Use EC2 Auto Recovery to automatically stop and start the instance in case of a failure.
- G. Use an S3 event notification to push the metadata to the instance when the instance is back up and running.
- H. Use AWS CloudFormation to create an EC2 instance that includes the UserData property for the EC2 resource.
- I. Add a command in UserData to retrieve the application metadata from Amazon S3.

Answer: B

Explanation:

<https://aws.amazon.com/blogs/mt/how-to-set-up-aws-opsworks-stacks-auto-healing-notifications-in-amazon-cloudwatch-events/>

NEW QUESTION 5

A company has an application that runs on AWS Lambda and sends logs to Amazon CloudWatch Logs. An Amazon Kinesis data stream is subscribed to the log groups in CloudWatch Logs. A single consumer Lambda function processes the logs from the data stream and stores the logs in an Amazon S3 bucket. The company's DevOps team has noticed high latency during the processing and ingestion of some logs. Which combination of steps will reduce the latency? (Select THREE.)

- A. Create a data stream consumer with enhanced fan-out
- B. Set the Lambda function that processes the logs as the consumer.
- C. Increase the ParallelizationFactor setting in the Lambda event source mapping.
- D. Configure reserved concurrency for the Lambda function that processes the logs.
- E. Increase the batch size in the Kinesis data stream.
- F. Turn off the ReportBatchItemFailures setting in the Lambda event source mapping.
- G. Increase the number of shards in the Kinesis data stream.

Answer: ABC

Explanation:

The latency in processing and ingesting logs can be caused by several factors, such as the throughput of the Kinesis data stream, the concurrency of the Lambda function, and the configuration of the event source mapping. To reduce the latency, the following steps can be taken:

? Create a data stream consumer with enhanced fan-out. Set the Lambda function that processes the logs as the consumer. This will allow the Lambda function to receive records from the data stream with dedicated throughput of up to 2 MB per second per shard, independent of other consumers¹. This will reduce the contention and delay in accessing the data stream.

? Increase the ParallelizationFactor setting in the Lambda event source mapping. This will allow the Lambda service to invoke more instances of the function concurrently to process the records from the data stream². This will increase the processing capacity and reduce the backlog of records in the data stream.

? Configure reserved concurrency for the Lambda function that processes the logs. This will ensure that the function has enough concurrency available to handle the increased load from the data stream³. This will prevent the function from being throttled by the account-level concurrency limit.

The other options are not effective or may have negative impacts on the latency. Option D is not suitable because increasing the batch size in the Kinesis data stream will increase the amount of data that the Lambda function has to process in each invocation, which may increase the execution time and latency⁴. Option E is not advisable because turning off the ReportBatchItemFailures setting in the Lambda event source mapping will prevent the Lambda service from retrying the failed records, which may result in data loss. Option F is not necessary because increasing the number of shards in the Kinesis data stream will increase the throughput of the data stream, but it will not affect the processing speed of the Lambda function, which is the bottleneck in this scenario.

References:

- ? 1: Using AWS Lambda with Amazon Kinesis Data Streams - AWS Lambda
- ? 2: AWS Lambda event source mappings - AWS Lambda
- ? 3: Managing concurrency for a Lambda function - AWS Lambda
- ? 4: AWS Lambda function scaling - AWS Lambda
- ? : AWS Lambda event source mappings - AWS Lambda
- ? : Scaling Amazon Kinesis Data Streams with AWS CloudFormation - Amazon Kinesis Data Streams

NEW QUESTION 6

A company uses Amazon S3 to store proprietary information. The development team creates buckets for new projects on a daily basis. The security team wants to ensure that all existing and future buckets have encryption logging and versioning enabled. Additionally, no buckets should ever be publicly read or write accessible.

What should a DevOps engineer do to meet these requirements?

- A. Enable AWS CloudTrail and configure automatic remediation using AWS Lambda.
- B. Enable AWS Config rules and configure automatic remediation using AWS Systems Manager documents.
- C. Enable AWS Trusted Advisor and configure automatic remediation using Amazon EventBridge.
- D. Enable AWS Systems Manager and configure automatic remediation using Systems Manager documents.

Answer: B

Explanation:

<https://aws.amazon.com/blogs/mt/aws-config-auto-remediation-s3-compliance/> <https://aws.amazon.com/blogs/aws/aws-config-rules-dynamic-compliance-checking-for-cloud-resources/>

NEW QUESTION 7

A DevOps engineer is building an application that uses an AWS Lambda function to query an Amazon Aurora MySQL DB cluster. The Lambda function performs only read queries. Amazon EventBridge events invoke the Lambda function.

As more events invoke the Lambda function each second, the database's latency increases and the database's throughput decreases. The DevOps engineer needs to improve the performance of the application.

Which combination of steps will meet these requirements? (Select THREE.)

- A. Use Amazon RDS Proxy to create a proxy
- B. Connect the proxy to the Aurora cluster reader endpoint
- C. Set a maximum connections percentage on the proxy.
- D. Implement database connection pooling inside the Lambda code
- E. Set a maximum number of connections on the database connection pool.
- F. Implement the database connection opening outside the Lambda event handler code.
- G. Implement the database connection opening and closing inside the Lambda event handler code.
- H. Connect to the proxy endpoint from the Lambda function.
- I. Connect to the Aurora cluster endpoint from the Lambda function.

Answer: ACE

Explanation:

To improve the performance of the application, the DevOps engineer should use Amazon RDS Proxy, implement the database connection opening outside the

Lambda event handler code, and connect to the proxy endpoint from the Lambda function. References:

- ? Amazon RDS Proxy is a fully managed, highly available database proxy for Amazon Relational Database Service (RDS) that makes applications more scalable, more resilient to database failures, and more secure¹. By using Amazon RDS Proxy, the DevOps engineer can reduce the overhead of opening and closing connections to the database, which can improve latency and throughput².
- ? The DevOps engineer should connect the proxy to the Aurora cluster reader endpoint, which allows read-only connections to one of the Aurora Replicas in the DB cluster³. This can help balance the load across multiple read replicas and improve performance for read-intensive workloads⁴.
- ? The DevOps engineer should implement the database connection opening outside the Lambda event handler code, which means using a global variable to store the database connection object⁵. This can enable connection reuse across multiple invocations of the Lambda function, which can reduce latency and improve performance.
- ? The DevOps engineer should connect to the proxy endpoint from the Lambda function, which is a unique URL that represents the proxy. This can allow the Lambda function to access the database through the proxy, which can provide benefits such as connection pooling, load balancing, failover handling, and enhanced security.
- ? The other options are incorrect because:

NEW QUESTION 8

A company is adopting AWS CodeDeploy to automate its application deployments for a Java-Apache Tomcat application with an Apache Webserver. The development team started with a proof of concept, created a deployment group for a developer environment, and performed functional tests within the application. After completion, the team will create additional deployment groups for staging and production.

The current log level is configured within the Apache settings, but the team wants to change this configuration dynamically when the deployment occurs, so that they can set different log level configurations depending on the deployment group without having a different application revision for each group.

How can these requirements be met with the LEAST management overhead and without requiring different script versions for each deployment group?

- A. Tag the Amazon EC2 instances depending on the deployment group
- B. Then place a script into the application revision that calls the metadata service and the EC2 API to identify which deployment group the instance is part of
- C. Use this information to configure the log level setting
- D. Reference the script as part of the AfterInstall lifecycle hook in the appspec.yml file.
- E. Create a script that uses the CodeDeploy environment variable DEPLOYMENT_GROUP_NAME to identify which deployment group the instance is part of
- F. Use this information to configure the log level setting
- G. Reference this script as part of the BeforeInstall lifecycle hook in the appspec.yml file.
- H. Create a CodeDeploy custom environment variable for each environment
- I. Then place a script into the application revision that checks this environment variable to identify which deployment group the instance is part of
- J. Use this information to configure the log level setting
- K. Reference this script as part of the ValidateService lifecycle hook in the appspec.yml file.
- L. Create a script that uses the CodeDeploy environment variable DEPLOYMENT_GROUP_ID to identify which deployment group the instance is part of to configure the log level setting
- M. Reference this script as part of the Install lifecycle hook in the appspec.yml file.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The following are the steps that the company can take to change the log level dynamically when the deployment occurs:

- ? Create a script that uses the CodeDeploy environment variable DEPLOYMENT_GROUP_NAME to identify which deployment group the instance is part of.
- ? Use this information to configure the log level settings.
- ? Reference this script as part of the BeforeInstall lifecycle hook in the appspec.yml file.

The DEPLOYMENT_GROUP_NAME environment variable is automatically set by CodeDeploy when the deployment is triggered. This means that the script does not need to call the metadata service or the EC2 API to identify the deployment group.

This solution is the least complex and requires the least management overhead. It also does not require different script versions for each deployment group.

The following are the reasons why the other options are not correct:

- ? Option A is incorrect because it would require tagging the Amazon EC2 instances, which would be a manual and time-consuming process.
- ? Option C is incorrect because it would require creating a custom environment variable for each environment. This would be a complex and error-prone process.
- ? Option D is incorrect because it would use the DEPLOYMENT_GROUP_ID environment variable. However, this variable is not automatically set by CodeDeploy, so the script would need to call the metadata service or the EC2 API to get the deployment group ID. This would add complexity and overhead to the solution.

NEW QUESTION 9

A development team is using AWS CodeCommit to version control application code and AWS CodePipeline to orchestrate software deployments. The team has decided to use a remote main branch as the trigger for the pipeline to integrate code changes. A developer has pushed code changes to the CodeCommit repository, but noticed that the pipeline had no reaction, even after 10 minutes.

Which of the following actions should be taken to troubleshoot this issue?

- A. Check that an Amazon EventBridge rule has been created for the main branch to trigger the pipeline.
- B. Check that the CodePipeline service role has permission to access the CodeCommit repository.
- C. Check that the developer's IAM role has permission to push to the CodeCommit repository.
- D. Check to see if the pipeline failed to start because of CodeCommit errors in Amazon CloudWatch Logs.

Answer: A

Explanation:

When you create a pipeline from CodePipeline during the step-by-step it creates a CloudWatch Event rule for a given branch and repo like this:

```
{
  "source": [ "aws.codecommit"
],
  "detail-type": [
    "CodeCommit Repository State Change"
  ],
  "resources": [
    "arn:aws:codecommit:us-east-1:xxxxx:repo-name"
  ],
  "detail": {
```



```
"event": [ "referenceCreated", "referenceUpdated"
],
"referenceType": [ "branch"
],
"referenceName": [ "master"
]
}
}
```

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/codepipeline/latest/userguide/pipelines-trigger-source-repo-changes-console.html>

NEW QUESTION 10

A company has a data ingestion application that runs across multiple AWS accounts. The accounts are in an organization in AWS Organizations. The company needs to monitor the application and consolidate access to the application. Currently the company is running the application on Amazon EC2 instances from several Auto Scaling groups. The EC2 instances have no access to the internet because the data is sensitive. Engineers have deployed the necessary VPC endpoints. The EC2 instances run a custom AMI that is built specifically for the application.

To maintain and troubleshoot the application, system administrators need the ability to log in to the EC2 instances. This access must be automated and controlled centrally. The company's security team must receive a notification whenever the instances are accessed.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Create an Amazon EventBridge rule to send notifications to the security team whenever a user logs in to an EC2 instance. Use EC2 Instance Connect to log in to the instance.
- B. Deploy Auto Scaling groups by using AWS CloudFormation. Use the cfn-init helper script to deploy appropriate VPC routes for external access. Rebuild the custom AMI so that the custom AMI includes AWS Systems Manager Agent.
- C. Deploy a NAT gateway and a bastion host that has internet access. Create a security group that allows incoming traffic on all the EC2 instances from the bastion host. Install AWS Systems Manager Agent on all the EC2 instances. Use Auto Scaling group lifecycle hooks for monitoring and auditing access. Use Systems Manager Session Manager to log into the instances. Send logs to a log group in Amazon CloudWatch Log.
- D. Export data to Amazon S3 for auditing. Send notifications to the security team by using S3 event notifications.
- E. Use EC2 Image Builder to rebuild the custom AMI. Include the most recent version of AWS Systems Manager Agent in the image. Configure the Auto Scaling group to attach the AmazonSSMManagedInstanceCore role to all the EC2 instances. Use Systems Manager Session Manager to log in to the instances. Enable logging of session details to Amazon S3. Create an S3 event notification for new file uploads to send a message to the security team through an Amazon Simple Notification Service (Amazon SNS) topic.
- F. Use AWS Systems Manager Automation to build Systems Manager Agent into the custom AMI. Configure AWS Config to attach an SCP to the root organization account to allow the EC2 instances to connect to Systems Manager. Use Systems Manager Session Manager to log in to the instances. Enable logging of session details to Amazon S3. Create an S3 event notification for new file uploads to send a message to the security team through an Amazon Simple Notification Service (Amazon SNS) topic.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Even if AmazonSSMManagedInstanceCore is a managed policy and not an IAM role, I will go with C because this policy is to be attached to an IAM role for EC2 to access Systems Manager.

NEW QUESTION 10

A company has a new AWS account that teams will use to deploy various applications. The teams will create many Amazon S3 buckets for application-specific purposes and to store AWS CloudTrail logs. The company has enabled Amazon Macie for the account.

A DevOps engineer needs to optimize the Macie costs for the account without compromising the account's functionality.

Which solutions will meet these requirements? (Select TWO.)

- A. Exclude S3 buckets that contain CloudTrail logs from automated discovery.
- B. Exclude S3 buckets that have public read access from automated discovery.
- C. Configure scheduled daily discovery jobs for all S3 buckets in the account.
- D. Configure discovery jobs to include S3 objects based on the last modified criterion.
- E. Configure discovery jobs to include S3 objects that are tagged as production only.

Answer: AD

Explanation:

To optimize the Macie costs for the account without compromising the account's functionality, the DevOps engineer needs to exclude S3 buckets that do not contain sensitive data from automated discovery. S3 buckets that contain CloudTrail logs are unlikely to have sensitive data, and Macie charges for scanning and monitoring data in S3 buckets. Therefore, excluding S3 buckets that contain CloudTrail logs from automated discovery can reduce Macie costs. Similarly, configuring discovery jobs to include S3 objects based on the last modified criterion can also reduce Macie costs, as it will only scan and monitor new or updated objects, rather than all objects in the bucket.

NEW QUESTION 12

A company has a mobile application that makes HTTP API calls to an Application Load Balancer (ALB). The ALB routes requests to an AWS Lambda function. Many different versions of the application are in use at any given time, including versions that are in testing by a subset of users. The version of the application is defined in the user-agent header that is sent with all requests to the API.

After a series of recent changes to the API, the company has observed issues with the application. The company needs to gather a metric for each API operation by response code for each version of the application that is in use. A DevOps engineer has modified the Lambda function to extract the API operation name, version information from the user-agent header, and response code.

Which additional set of actions should the DevOps engineer take to gather the required metrics?

- A. Modify the Lambda function to write the API operation name, response code, and version number as a log line to an Amazon CloudWatch Logs log group.
- B. Configure a CloudWatch Logs metric filter that increments a metric for each API operation name.
- C. Specify response code and application version as dimensions for the metric.
- D. Modify the Lambda function to write the API operation name, response code, and version number as a log line to an Amazon CloudWatch Logs log group.
- E. Configure a CloudWatch Logs Insights query to populate CloudWatch metrics from the log line.
- F. Specify response code and application version as dimensions for the metric.
- G. Configure the ALB access logs to write to an Amazon CloudWatch Logs log group.
- H. Modify the Lambda function to respond to the ALB with the API operation name, response code, and version number as response metadata.

- I. Configure a CloudWatch Logs metric filter that increments a metric for each API operation name
- J. Specify response code and application version as dimensions for the metric.
- K. Configure AWS X-Ray integration on the Lambda function
- L. Modify the Lambda function to create an X-Ray subsegment with the API operation name, response code, and version number
- M. Configure X-Ray insights to extract an aggregated metric for each API operation name and to publish the metric to Amazon CloudWatch
- N. Specify response code and application version as dimensions for the metric.

Answer: A

Explanation:

"Note that the metric filter is different from a log insights query, where the experience is interactive and provides immediate search results for the user to investigate.

No automatic action can be invoked from an insights query. Metric filters, on the other hand, will generate metric data in the form of a time series. This lets you create alarms that integrate into your ITSM processes, execute AWS Lambda functions, or even create anomaly detection models."

<https://aws.amazon.com/blogs/mt/quantify-custom-application-metrics-with-amazon-cloudwatch-logs-and-metric-filters/>

NEW QUESTION 14

A large enterprise is deploying a web application on AWS. The application runs on Amazon

EC2 instances behind an Application Load Balancer. The instances run in an Auto Scaling group across multiple Availability Zones. The application stores data in an Amazon RDS for Oracle DB instance and Amazon DynamoDB. There are separate environments for development testing and production.

What is the MOST secure and flexible way to obtain password credentials during deployment?

- A. Retrieve an access key from an AWS Systems Manager securestring parameter to access AWS service
- B. Retrieve the database credentials from a Systems Manager SecureString parameter.
- C. Launch the EC2 instances with an EC2 1AM role to access AWS services Retrieve the database credentials from AWS Secrets Manager.
- D. Retrieve an access key from an AWS Systems Manager plaintext parameter to access AWS service
- E. Retrieve the database credentials from a Systems Manager SecureString parameter.
- F. Launch the EC2 instances with an EC2 1AM role to access AWS services Store the database passwords in an encrypted config file with the application artifacts.

Answer: B

Explanation:

AWS Secrets Manager is a secrets management service that helps you protect access to your applications, services, and IT resources. This service enables you to easily rotate, manage, and retrieve database credentials, API keys, and other secrets throughout their lifecycle. Using Secrets Manager, you can secure and manage secrets used to access resources in the AWS Cloud, on third-party services, and on-premises. SSM parameter store and AWS Secret manager are both a secure option. However, Secrets manager is more flexible and has more options like password generation. Reference:

<https://www.1strategy.com/blog/2019/02/28/aws-parameter-store-vs-aws-secrets-manager/>

NEW QUESTION 16

A company runs a workload on Amazon EC2 instances. The company needs a control that requires the use of Instance Metadata Service Version 2 (IMDSv2) on all EC2 instances in the AWS account. If an EC2 instance does not prevent the use of Instance Metadata Service Version 1 (IMDSv1), the EC2 instance must be terminated.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Set up AWS Config in the account
- B. Use a managed rule to check EC2 instance
- C. Configure the rule to remediate the findings by using AWS Systems Manager Automation to terminate the instance.
- D. Create a permissions boundary that prevents the ec2:RunInstance action if the ec2:MetadataHttpTokens condition key is not set to a value of require
- E. Attach the permissions boundary to the IAM role that was used to launch the instance.
- F. Set up Amazon Inspector in the account
- G. Configure Amazon Inspector to activate deep inspection for EC2 instance
- H. Create an Amazon EventBridge rule for an Inspector2 finding
- I. Set an AWS Lambda function as the target to terminate the instance.
- J. Create an Amazon EventBridge rule for the EC2 instance launch successful event
- K. Send the event to an AWS Lambda function to inspect the EC2 metadata and to terminate the instance.

Answer: B

Explanation:

To implement a control that requires the use of IMDSv2 on all EC2 instances in the account, the DevOps engineer can use a permissions boundary. A permissions boundary is a policy that defines the maximum permissions that an IAM entity can have. The DevOps engineer can create a permissions boundary that prevents the ec2:RunInstance action if the ec2:MetadataHttpTokens condition key is not set to a value of required. This condition key enforces the use of IMDSv2 on EC2 instances. The DevOps engineer can attach the permissions boundary to the IAM role that was used to launch the instance. This way, any attempt to launch an EC2 instance without using IMDSv2 will be denied by the permissions boundary.

NEW QUESTION 18

A company's developers use Amazon EC2 instances as remote workstations. The company is concerned that users can create or modify EC2 security groups to allow unrestricted inbound access.

A DevOps engineer needs to develop a solution to detect when users create unrestricted security group rules. The solution must detect changes to security group rules in near real time, remove unrestricted rules, and send email notifications to the security team. The DevOps engineer has created an AWS Lambda function that checks for security group ID from input, removes rules that grant unrestricted access, and sends notifications through Amazon Simple Notification Service (Amazon SNS).

What should the DevOps engineer do next to meet the requirements?

- A. Configure the Lambda function to be invoked by the SNS topic
- B. Create an AWS CloudTrail subscription for the SNS topic
- C. Configure a subscription filter for security group modification events.
- D. Create an Amazon EventBridge scheduled rule to invoke the Lambda function
- E. Define a schedule pattern that runs the Lambda function every hour.
- F. Create an Amazon EventBridge event rule that has the default event bus as the source

- G. Define the rule's event pattern to match EC2 security group creation and modification event
- H. Configure the rule to invoke the Lambda function.
- I. Create an Amazon EventBridge custom event bus that subscribes to events from all AWS service
- J. Configure the Lambda function to be invoked by the custom event bus.

Answer: C

Explanation:

To meet the requirements, the DevOps engineer should create an Amazon EventBridge event rule that has the default event bus as the source. The rule's event pattern should match EC2 security group creation and modification events, and it should be configured to invoke the Lambda function. This solution will allow for near real-time detection of security group rule changes and will trigger the Lambda function to remove any unrestricted rules and send email notifications to the security team. <https://repost.aws/knowledge-center/monitor-security-group-changes-ec2>

NEW QUESTION 22

A company has multiple member accounts that are part of an organization in AWS Organizations. The security team needs to review every Amazon EC2 security group and their inbound and outbound rules. The security team wants to programmatically retrieve this information from the member accounts using an AWS Lambda function in the management account of the organization.

Which combination of access changes will meet these requirements? (Choose three.)

- A. Create a trust relationship that allows users in the member accounts to assume the management account IAM role.
- B. Create a trust relationship that allows users in the management account to assume the IAM roles of the member accounts.
- C. Create an IAM role in each member account that has access to the AmazonEC2ReadOnlyAccess managed policy.
- D. Create an IAM role in each member account to allow the sts:AssumeRole action against the management account IAM role's ARN.
- E. Create an IAM role in the management account that allows the sts:AssumeRole action against the member account IAM role's ARN.
- F. Create an IAM role in the management account that has access to the AmazonEC2ReadOnlyAccess managed policy.

Answer: BCE

Explanation:

<https://aws.amazon.com/premiumsupport/knowledge-center/lambda-function-assume-iam-role/> <https://kreuzwerker.de/post/aws-multi-account-setups-reloaded>

NEW QUESTION 26

A DevOps engineer is creating an AWS CloudFormation template to deploy a web service. The web service will run on Amazon EC2 instances in a private subnet behind an Application Load Balancer (ALB). The DevOps engineer must ensure that the service can accept requests from clients that have IPv6 addresses.

What should the DevOps engineer do with the CloudFormation template so that IPv6 clients can access the web service?

- A. Add an IPv6 CIDR block to the VPC and the private subnet for the EC2 instance
- B. Create route table entries for the IPv6 network, use EC2 instance types that support IPv6, and assign IPv6 addresses to each EC2 instance.
- C. Assign each EC2 instance an IPv6 Elastic IP address
- D. Create a target group, and add the EC2 instances as target
- E. Create a listener on port 443 of the ALB, and associate the target group with the ALB.
- F. Replace the ALB with a Network Load Balancer (NLB). Add an IPv6 CIDR block to the VPC and subnets for the NLB, and assign the NLB an IPv6 Elastic IP address.
- G. Add an IPv6 CIDR block to the VPC and subnets for the AL
- H. Create a listener on port 443. and specify the dualstack IP address type on the AL
- I. Create a target group, and add the EC2 instances as target
- J. Associate the target group with the ALB.

Answer: D

Explanation:

it involves adding an IPv6 CIDR block to the VPC and subnets for the ALB and specifying the dualstack IP address type on the ALB listener. This allows the ALB to listen on both IPv4 and IPv6 addresses, and forward requests to the EC2 instances that are added as targets to the target group associated with the ALB.

NEW QUESTION 31

A DevOps engineer needs to back up sensitive Amazon S3 objects that are stored within an S3 bucket with a private bucket policy using S3 cross-Region replication functionality. The objects need to be copied to a target bucket in a different AWS Region and account.

Which combination of actions should be performed to enable this replication? (Choose three.)

- A. Create a replication IAM role in the source account
- B. Create a replication IAM role in the target account.
- C. Add statements to the source bucket policy allowing the replication IAM role to replicate objects.
- D. Add statements to the target bucket policy allowing the replication IAM role to replicate objects.
- E. Create a replication rule in the source bucket to enable the replication.
- F. Create a replication rule in the target bucket to enable the replication.

Answer: ADE

Explanation:

S3 cross-Region replication (CRR) automatically replicates data between buckets across different AWS Regions. To enable CRR, you need to add a replication configuration to your source bucket that specifies the destination bucket, the IAM role, and the encryption type (optional). You also need to grant permissions to the IAM role to perform replication actions on both the source and destination buckets. Additionally, you can choose the destination storage class and enable additional replication options such as S3 Replication Time Control (S3 RTC) or S3 Batch Replication. <https://medium.com/cloud-techies/s3-same-region-replication-srr-and-cross-region-replication-crr-34d446806bab> <https://aws.amazon.com/getting-started/hands-on/replicate-data-using-amazon-s3-replication/> <https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonS3/latest/userguide/replication.html>

NEW QUESTION 33

An Amazon EC2 instance is running in a VPC and needs to download an object from a restricted Amazon S3 bucket. When the DevOps engineer tries to download the object, an AccessDenied error is received,

What are the possible causes for this error? (Select TWO,)

- A. The S3 bucket default encryption is enabled.
- B. There is an error in the S3 bucket policy.
- C. The object has been moved to S3 Glacier.
- D. There is an error in the IAM role configuration.
- E. S3 Versioning is enabled.

Answer: BD

Explanation:

These are the possible causes for the AccessDenied error because they affect the permissions to access the S3 object from the EC2 instance. An S3 bucket policy is a resource-based policy that defines who can access the bucket and its objects, and what actions they can perform. An IAM role is an identity that can be assumed by an EC2 instance to grant it permissions to access AWS services and resources. If there is an error in the S3 bucket policy or the IAM role configuration, such as a missing or incorrect statement, condition, or principal, then the EC2 instance may not have the necessary permissions to download the object from the S3 bucket . <https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonS3/latest/userguide/example-bucket-policies.html>
<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AWSEC2/latest/UserGuide/iam-roles-for-amazon-ec2.html>

NEW QUESTION 34

A company has multiple AWS accounts. The company uses AWS IAM Identity Center (AWS Single Sign-On) that is integrated with AWS Toolkit for Microsoft Azure DevOps. The attributes for access control feature is enabled in IAM Identity Center.

The attribute mapping list contains two entries. The department key is mapped to

`${path:enterprise.department}`. The costCenter key is mapped to

`${path:enterprise.costCenter}`.

All existing Amazon EC2 instances have a department tag that corresponds to three company departments (d1, d2, d3). A DevOps engineer must create policies based on the matching attributes. The policies must minimize administrative effort and must grant each Azure AD user access to only the EC2 instances that are tagged with the user's respective department name.

Which condition key should the DevOps engineer include in the custom permissions policies to meet these requirements?

A.

```
"Condition": {
  "ForAllValues:StringEquals": {
    "aws:TagKeys": ["department"]
  }
}
```

B.

```
"Condition": {
  "StringEquals": {
    "aws:PrincipalTag/department": "${aws:ResourceTag/department}"
  }
}
```

C.

```
"Condition": {
  "StringEquals": {
    "ec2:ResourceTag/department": "${aws:PrincipalTag/department}"
  }
}
```

D.

```
"Condition": {
  "ForAllValues:StringEquals": {
    "ec2:ResourceTag/department": ["d1", "d2", "d3"]
  }
}
```

A.

Answer: C

Explanation:

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/singlesignon/latest/userguide/configure-abac.html>

NEW QUESTION 39

A company's development team uses AVMS Cloud Formation to deploy its application resources. The team must use for any changes to the environment. The team cannot use the AWS Management Console or the AWS CLI to make manual changes directly.

The team uses a developer IAM role to access the environment. The role is configured with the AdministratorAccess managed policy. The company has created a new CloudFormationDeployment IAM role that has the following policy.

```
{
  "Version": "2012-10-17",
  "Statement": [
    {
      "Effect": "Allow",
      "Action": [
        "elasticloadbalancing:*",
        "lambda:*",
        "dynamodb:*"
      ],
      "Resource": "*"
    }
  ]
}
```

The company wants to ensure that only CloudFormation can use the new role. The development team cannot make any manual changes to the deployed resources. Which combination of steps meet these requirements? (Select THREE.)

- A. Remove the AdministratorAccess policy
- B. Assign the ReadOnlyAccess managed IAM policy to the developer role
- C. Instruct the developers to use the CloudFormationDeployment role as a CloudFormation service role when the developers deploy new stacks.
- D. Update the trust of CloudFormationDeployment role to allow the developer IAM role to assume the CloudFormationDeployment role.
- E. Configure the IAM to be able to get and pass the CloudFormationDeployment role if cloudformation actions for resources,
- F. Update the trust of the CloudFormationDeployment role to allow the cloudformation.amazonaws.com AWS principal to perform the iam:AssumeRole action
- G. Remove the AdministratorAccess policy
- H. Assign the ReadOnlyAccess managed IAM policy to the developer role. Instruct the developers to assume the CloudFormationDeployment role when they deploy new stacks
- I. Add an IAM policy to CloudFormationDeployment to allow cloudformation:* on all resources and add a policy that allows the iam:PassRole action for ARN of CloudFormationDeployment if iam:PassedToService equals cloudformation.amazonaws.com

Answer: ADF

Explanation:

A comprehensive and detailed explanation is:

? Option A is correct because removing the AdministratorAccess policy and assigning the ReadOnlyAccess managed IAM policy to the developer role is a valid way to prevent the developers from making any manual changes to the deployed resources. The AdministratorAccess policy grants full access to all AWS resources and actions, which is not necessary for the developers. The ReadOnlyAccess policy grants read-only access to most AWS resources and actions, which is sufficient for the developers to view the status of their stacks. Instructing the developers to use the CloudFormationDeployment role as a CloudFormation service role when they deploy new stacks is also a valid way to ensure that only CloudFormation can use the new role. A CloudFormation service role is an IAM role that allows CloudFormation to make calls to resources in a stack on behalf of the user1. The user can specify a service role when they create or update a stack, and CloudFormation will use that role's credentials for all operations that are performed on that stack1.

? Option B is incorrect because updating the trust of CloudFormationDeployment role to allow the developer IAM role to assume the CloudFormationDeployment role is not a valid solution. This would allow the developers to manually assume the CloudFormationDeployment role and perform actions on the deployed resources, which is not what the company wants. The trust of CloudFormationDeployment role should only allow the cloudformation.amazonaws.com AWS principal to assume the role, as in option D.

? Option C is incorrect because configuring the IAM user to be able to get and pass the CloudFormationDeployment role if cloudformation actions for resources is not a valid solution. This would allow the developers to manually pass the CloudFormationDeployment role to other services or resources, which is not what the company wants. The IAM user should only be able to pass the CloudFormationDeployment role as a service role when they create or update a stack with CloudFormation, as in option A.

? Option D is correct because updating the trust of CloudFormationDeployment role to allow the cloudformation.amazonaws.com AWS principal to perform the iam:AssumeRole action is a valid solution. This allows CloudFormation to assume the CloudFormationDeployment role and access resources in other services on behalf of the user2. The trust policy of an IAM role defines which entities can assume the role2. By specifying cloudformation.amazonaws.com as the principal, you grant permission only to CloudFormation to assume this role.

? Option E is incorrect because instructing the developers to assume the CloudFormationDeployment role when they deploy new stacks is not a valid solution. This would allow the developers to manually assume the CloudFormationDeployment role and perform actions on the deployed resources, which is not what the company wants. The developers should only use the CloudFormationDeployment role as a service role when they deploy new stacks with CloudFormation, as in option A.

? Option F is correct because adding an IAM policy to CloudFormationDeployment that allows cloudformation:* on all resources and adding a policy that allows the iam:PassRole action for ARN of CloudFormationDeployment if iam:PassedToService equals cloudformation.amazonaws.com are valid solutions. The first policy grants permission for CloudFormationDeployment to perform any action with any resource using cloudformation.amazonaws.com as a service principal3. The second policy grants permission for passing this role only if it is passed by cloudformation.amazonaws.com as a service principal4. This ensures that only CloudFormation can use this role.

References:

- ? 1: AWS CloudFormation service roles
- ? 2: How to use trust policies with IAM roles
- ? 3: AWS::IAM::Policy
- ? 4: IAM: Pass an IAM role to a specific AWS service

NEW QUESTION 40

A company's DevOps engineer is creating an AWS Lambda function to process notifications from an Amazon Simple Notification Service (Amazon SNS) topic. The Lambda function will process the notification messages and will write the contents of the notification messages to an Amazon RDS Multi-AZ DB instance.

During testing a database administrator accidentally shut down the DB instance. While the database was down the company lost several of the SNS notification messages that were delivered during that time.

The DevOps engineer needs to prevent the loss of notification messages in the future Which solutions will meet this requirement? (Select TWO.)

- A. Replace the RDS Multi-AZ DB instance with an Amazon DynamoDB table.
- B. Configure an Amazon Simple Queue Service (Amazon SQS) queue as a destination of the Lambda function.
- C. Configure an Amazon Simple Queue Service (Amazon SQS) dead-letter queue for the SNS topic.
- D. Subscribe an Amazon Simple Queue Service (Amazon SQS) queue to the SNS topic Configure the Lambda function to process messages from the SQS queue.
- E. Replace the SNS topic with an Amazon EventBridge event bus Configure an EventBridge rule on the new event bus to invoke the Lambda function for each event.

Answer: CD

Explanation:

These solutions will meet the requirement because they will prevent the loss of notification messages in the future. An Amazon SQS queue is a service that provides a reliable, scalable, and secure message queue for asynchronous communication between distributed components. You can use an SQS queue to buffer messages from an SNS topic and ensure that they are delivered and processed by a Lambda function, even if the function or the database is temporarily unavailable.

Option C will configure an SQS dead-letter queue for the SNS topic. A dead-letter queue is a queue that receives messages that could not be delivered to any subscriber after a specified number of retries. You can use a dead-letter queue to store and analyze failed messages, or to reprocess them later. This way, you can avoid losing messages that could not be delivered to the Lambda function due to network errors, throttling, or other issues. Option D will subscribe an SQS queue to the SNS topic and configure the Lambda function to process messages from the SQS queue. This will decouple the SNS topic from the Lambda function and provide more flexibility and control over the message delivery and processing. You can use an SQS queue to store messages from the SNS topic until they are ready to be processed by the Lambda function, and also to retry processing in case of failures. This way, you can avoid losing messages that could not be processed by the Lambda function due to database errors, timeouts, or other issues.

NEW QUESTION 43

A company provides an application to customers. The application has an Amazon API Gateway REST API that invokes an AWS Lambda function. On initialization, the Lambda function loads a large amount of data from an Amazon DynamoDB table. The data load process results in long cold-start times of 8-10 seconds. The DynamoDB table has DynamoDB Accelerator (DAX) configured.

Customers report that the application intermittently takes a long time to respond to requests. The application receives thousands of requests throughout the day. In the middle of the day, the application experiences 10 times more requests than at any other time of the day. Near the end of the day, the application's request volume decreases to 10% of its normal total.

A DevOps engineer needs to reduce the latency of the Lambda function at all times of the day.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Configure provisioned concurrency on the Lambda function with a concurrency value of 1. Delete the DAX cluster for the DynamoDB table.
- B. Configure reserved concurrency on the Lambda function with a concurrency value of 0.
- C. Configure provisioned concurrency on the Lambda function.
- D. Configure AWS Application Auto Scaling on the Lambda function with provisioned concurrency values set to a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 100.
- E. Configure reserved concurrency on the Lambda function.
- F. Configure AWS Application Auto Scaling on the API Gateway API with a reserved concurrency maximum value of 100.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The following are the steps that the DevOps engineer should take to reduce the latency of the Lambda function at all times of the day:

? Configure provisioned concurrency on the Lambda function.

? Configure AWS Application Auto Scaling on the Lambda function with provisioned concurrency values set to a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 100.

The provisioned concurrency setting ensures that there is always a minimum number of Lambda function instances available to handle requests. The Application Auto Scaling setting will automatically scale the number of Lambda function instances up or down based on the demand for the application.

This solution will ensure that the Lambda function is able to handle the increased load during the middle of the day, while also keeping the cold-start latency low.

The following are the reasons why the other options are not correct:

? Option A is incorrect because it will not reduce the cold-start latency of the Lambda function.

? Option B is incorrect because it will not scale the number of Lambda function instances up or down based on demand.

? Option D is incorrect because it will only configure reserved concurrency on the API Gateway API, which will not affect the Lambda function.

NEW QUESTION 47

A company needs to implement failover for its application. The application includes an Amazon CloudFront distribution and a public Application Load Balancer (ALB) in an AWS Region. The company has configured the ALB as the default origin for the distribution.

After some recent application outages, the company wants a zero-second RTO. The company deploys the application to a secondary Region in a warm standby configuration. A DevOps engineer needs to automate the failover of the application to the secondary Region so that HTTP GET requests meet the desired RTO. Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Create a second CloudFront distribution that has the secondary ALB as the default origin
- B. Create Amazon Route 53 alias records that have a failover policy and Evaluate Target Health set to Yes for both CloudFront distribution
- C. Update the application to use the new record set.
- D. Create a new origin on the distribution for the secondary ALB
- E. Create a new origin group
- F. Set the original ALB as the primary origin
- G. Configure the origin group to fail over for HTTP 5xx status code
- H. Update the default behavior to use the origin group.
- I. Create Amazon Route 53 alias records that have a failover policy and Evaluate Target Health set to Yes for both ALB
- J. Set the TTL of both records to
- K. Update the distribution's origin to use the new record set.
- L. Create a CloudFront function that detects HTTP 5xx status code
- M. Configure the function to return a 307 Temporary Redirect error response to the secondary ALB if the function detects 5xx status code
- N. Update the distribution's default behavior to send origin responses to the function.

Answer: B

Explanation:

To implement failover for the application to the secondary Region so that HTTP GET requests meet the desired RTO, the DevOps engineer should use the following solution:

? Create a new origin on the distribution for the secondary ALB. A CloudFront origin

is the source of the content that CloudFront delivers to viewers. By creating a new origin for the secondary ALB, the DevOps engineer can configure CloudFront to route traffic to the secondary Region when the primary Region is unavailable¹

? Create a new origin group. Set the original ALB as the primary origin. Configure

the origin group to fail over for HTTP 5xx status codes. An origin group is a logical grouping of two origins: a primary origin and a secondary origin. By creating an origin group, the DevOps engineer can specify which origin CloudFront should use as a fallback when the primary origin fails. The DevOps engineer can also define which HTTP status codes should trigger a failover from the primary origin to the secondary origin. By setting the original ALB as the primary origin and configuring the origin group to fail over for HTTP 5xx status codes, the DevOps engineer can ensure that CloudFront will switch to the secondary ALB when the primary ALB returns server errors²

? Update the default behavior to use the origin group. A behavior is a set of rules

that CloudFront applies when it receives requests for specific URLs or file types. The default behavior applies to all requests that do not match any other behaviors. By updating the default behavior to use the origin group, the DevOps engineer can enable failover routing for all requests that are sent to the distribution³

This solution will meet the requirements because it will automate the failover of the

application to the secondary Region with zero-second RTO. When CloudFront receives an HTTP GET request, it will first try to route it to the primary ALB in the primary Region. If the primary ALB is healthy and returns a successful response, CloudFront will deliver it to the viewer. If the primary ALB is unhealthy or returns an HTTP 5xx status code, CloudFront will automatically route the request to the secondary ALB in the secondary Region and deliver its response to the viewer.

The other options are not correct because they either do not provide zero-second RTO or do not work as expected. Creating a second CloudFront distribution that has the secondary ALB as the default origin and creating Amazon Route 53 alias records that have a failover policy is not a good option because it will introduce additional latency and complexity to the solution. Route 53 health checks and DNS propagation can take several minutes or longer, which means that viewers might experience delays or errors when accessing the application during a failover event. Creating Amazon Route 53 alias records that have a failover policy and Evaluate Target Health set to Yes for both ALBs and setting the TTL of both records to 0 is not a valid option because it will not work with CloudFront distributions. Route 53 does not support health checks for alias records that point to CloudFront distributions, so it cannot detect if an ALB behind a distribution is healthy or not. Creating a CloudFront function that detects HTTP 5xx status codes and returns a 307 Temporary Redirect error response to the secondary ALB is not a valid option because it will not provide zero-second RTO. A 307 Temporary Redirect error response tells viewers to retry their requests with a different URL, which means that viewers will have to make an additional request and wait for another response from CloudFront before reaching the secondary ALB.

References:

? 1: Adding, Editing, and Deleting Origins - Amazon CloudFront

? 2: Configuring Origin Failover - Amazon CloudFront

? 3: Creating or Updating a Cache Behavior - Amazon CloudFront

NEW QUESTION 50

An IT team has built an AWS CloudFormation template so others in the company can quickly and reliably deploy and terminate an application. The template creates an Amazon EC2 instance with a user data script to install the application and an Amazon S3 bucket that the application uses to serve static webpages while it is running.

All resources should be removed when the CloudFormation stack is deleted. However, the team observes that CloudFormation reports an error during stack deletion, and the S3 bucket created by the stack is not deleted.

How can the team resolve the error in the MOST efficient manner to ensure that all resources are deleted without errors?

- A. Add a DeletionPolicy attribute to the S3 bucket resource, with the value Delete forcing the bucket to be removed when the stack is deleted.
- B. Add a custom resource with an AWS Lambda function with the DependsOn attribute specifying the S3 bucket, and an IAM role
- C. Write the Lambda function to delete all objects from the bucket when RequestType is Delete.
- D. Identify the resource that was not delete
- E. Manually empty the S3 bucket and then delete it.
- F. Replace the EC2 and S3 bucket resources with a single AWS OpsWorks Stacks resource
- G. Define a custom recipe for the stack to create and delete the EC2 instance and the S3 bucket.

Answer: B

Explanation:

<https://aws.amazon.com/premiumsupport/knowledge-center/cloudformation-s3-custom-resources/>

NEW QUESTION 54

A company's security policies require the use of security hardened AMIs in production environments. A DevOps engineer has used EC2 Image Builder to create a pipeline that builds the AMIs on a recurring schedule.

The DevOps engineer needs to update the launch templates of the company's Auto Scaling groups. The Auto Scaling groups must use the newest AMI during the launch of Amazon EC2 instances.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the MOST operational efficiency?

- A. Configure an Amazon EventBridge rule to receive new AMI events from Image Builder
- B. Target an AWS Systems Manager Run Command document that updates the launch templates of the Auto Scaling groups with the newest AMI ID.
- C. Configure an Amazon EventBridge rule to receive new AMI events from Image Builder
- D. Target an AWS Lambda function that updates the launch templates of the Auto Scaling groups with the newest AMI ID.
- E. Configure the launch template to use a value from AWS Systems Manager Parameter Store for the AMI ID
- F. Configure the Image Builder pipeline to update the Parameter Store value with the newest AMI ID.
- G. Configure the Image Builder distribution settings to update the launch templates with the newest AMI ID
- H. Configure the Auto Scaling groups to use the newest version of the launch template.

Answer: C

Explanation:

? The most operationally efficient solution is to use AWS Systems Manager Parameter Store¹ to store the AMI ID and reference it in the launch template². This way, the launch template does not need to be updated every time a new AMI is created by Image Builder. Instead, the Image Builder pipeline can update the Parameter Store value with the newest AMI ID³, and the Auto Scaling group can launch instances using the latest value from Parameter Store.

? The other solutions require updating the launch template or creating a new version of it every time a new AMI is created, which adds complexity and overhead. Additionally, using EventBridge rules and Lambda functions or Run Command documents introduces additional dependencies and potential points of failure.

References: 1: AWS Systems Manager Parameter Store 2: Using AWS Systems Manager parameters instead of AMI IDs in launch templates 3: Update an SSM parameter with

NEW QUESTION 55

A company uses AWS Organizations to manage its AWS accounts. The company has a root OU that has a child OU. The root OU has an SCP that allows all actions on all resources. The child OU has an SCP that allows all actions for Amazon DynamoDB and AWS Lambda, and denies all other actions. The company has an AWS account that is named vendor-data in the child OU. A DevOps engineer has an 1AM user that is attached to the AdministratorAccess 1AM policy in the vendor-data account. The DevOps engineer attempts to launch an Amazon EC2 instance in the vendor-data account but receives an access denied error.

Which change should the DevOps engineer make to launch the EC2 instance in the vendor-data account?

- A. Attach the AmazonEC2FullAccess 1AM policy to the 1AM user.
- B. Create a new SCP that allows all actions for Amazon EC2. Attach the SCP to the vendor-data account.
- C. Update the SCP in the child OU to allow all actions for Amazon EC2.
- D. Create a new SCP that allows all actions for Amazon EC2. Attach the SCP to the root OU.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The correct answer is C. Updating the SCP in the child OU to allow all actions for Amazon EC2 will enable the DevOps engineer to launch the EC2 instance in the vendor-data account. SCPs are applied to OUs and accounts in a hierarchical manner, meaning that the SCPs attached to the parent OU are inherited by the child OU and accounts. Therefore, the SCP in the child OU overrides the SCP in the root OU and denies all actions except for DynamoDB and Lambda. By adding EC2 to the allowed actions in the child OU's SCP, the DevOps engineer can access EC2 resources in the vendor-data account.

Option A is incorrect because attaching the AmazonEC2FullAccess IAM policy to the IAM user will not grant the user access to EC2 resources. IAM policies are evaluated after SCPs, so even if the IAM policy allows EC2 actions, the SCP will still deny them.

Option B is incorrect because creating a new SCP that allows all actions for EC2 and attaching it to the vendor-data account will not work. SCPs are not cumulative, meaning that only one SCP is applied to an account at a time. The SCP attached to the account will be the SCP attached to the OU that contains the account. Therefore, option B will not change the SCP that is applied to the vendor-data account.

Option D is incorrect because creating a new SCP that allows all actions for EC2 and attaching it to the root OU will not work. As explained earlier, the SCP in the child OU overrides the SCP in the root OU and denies all actions except for DynamoDB and Lambda. Therefore, option D will not affect the SCP that is applied to the vendor-data account.

NEW QUESTION 60

A company hosts applications in its AWS account. Each application logs to an individual Amazon CloudWatch log group. The company's CloudWatch costs for ingestion are increasing.

A DevOps engineer needs to identify which applications are the source of the increased logging costs.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Use CloudWatch metrics to create a custom expression that identifies the CloudWatch log groups that have the most data being written to them.
- B. Use CloudWatch Logs Insights to create a set of queries for the application log groups to identify the number of logs written for a period of time.
- C. Use AWS Cost Explorer to generate a cost report that details the cost for CloudWatch usage.
- D. Use AWS CloudTrail to filter for CreateLogStream events for each application.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The correct answer is C.

A comprehensive and detailed explanation is:

? Option A is incorrect because using CloudWatch metrics to create a custom expression that identifies the CloudWatch log groups that have the most data being written to them is not a valid solution. CloudWatch metrics do not provide information about the size or volume of data being ingested by CloudWatch logs.

CloudWatch metrics only provide information about the number of events, bytes, and errors that occur within a log group or stream. Moreover, creating a custom expression with CloudWatch metrics would require using the search_web tool, which is not necessary for this use case.

? Option B is incorrect because using CloudWatch Logs Insights to create a set of queries for the application log groups to identify the number of logs written for a period of time is not a valid solution. CloudWatch Logs Insights can help analyze and filter log events based on patterns and expressions, but it does not provide information about the cost or billing of CloudWatch logs. CloudWatch Logs Insights also charges based on the amount of data scanned by each query, which could increase the logging costs further.

? Option C is correct because using AWS Cost Explorer to generate a cost report that details the cost for CloudWatch usage is a valid solution. AWS Cost Explorer is a tool that helps visualize, understand, and manage AWS costs and usage over time. AWS Cost Explorer can generate custom reports that show the breakdown of costs by service, region, account, tag, or any other dimension. AWS Cost Explorer can also filter and group costs by usage type, which can help identify the specific CloudWatch log groups that are the source of the increased logging costs.

? Option D is incorrect because using AWS CloudTrail to filter for CreateLogStream events for each application is not a valid solution. AWS CloudTrail is a service that records API calls and account activity for AWS services, including CloudWatch logs. However, AWS CloudTrail does not provide information about the cost or billing of CloudWatch logs. Filtering for CreateLogStream events would only show when a new log stream was created within a log group, but not how much data was ingested or stored by that log stream.

References:

? CloudWatch Metrics

? CloudWatch Logs Insights

? AWS Cost Explorer

? AWS CloudTrail

NEW QUESTION 62

A company that runs many workloads on AWS has an Amazon EBS spend that has increased over time. The DevOps team notices there are many unattached EBS volumes. Although there are workloads where volumes are detached, volumes over 14 days old are stale and no longer needed. A DevOps engineer has been tasked with creating automation that deletes unattached EBS volumes that have been unattached for 14 days.

Which solution will accomplish this?

- A. Configure the AWS Config ec2-volume-inuse-check managed rule with a configuration changes trigger type and an Amazon EC2 volume resource target.
- B. Create a new Amazon CloudWatch Events rule scheduled to execute an AWS Lambda function in 14 days to delete the specified EBS volume.
- C. Use Amazon EC2 and Amazon Data Lifecycle Manager to configure a volume lifecycle policy.
- D. Set the interval period for unattached EBS volumes to 14 days and set the retention rule to delete.
- E. Set the policy target volumes as *.

- F. Create an Amazon CloudWatch Events rule to execute an AWS Lambda function daily
- G. The Lambda function should find unattached EBS volumes and tag them with the current date, and delete unattached volumes that have tags with dates that are more than 14 days old.
- H. Use AWS Trusted Advisor to detect EBS volumes that have been detached for more than 14 days
- I. Execute an AWS Lambda function that creates a snapshot and then deletes the EBS volume.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The requirement is to create automation that deletes unattached EBS volumes that have been unattached for 14 days. To do this, the DevOps engineer needs to use the following steps:

? Create an Amazon CloudWatch Events rule to execute an AWS Lambda function

daily. CloudWatch Events is a service that enables event-driven architectures by delivering events from various sources to targets. Lambda is a service that lets you

run code without provisioning or managing servers. By creating a CloudWatch Events rule that executes a Lambda function daily, the DevOps engineer can schedule a recurring task to check and delete unattached EBS volumes.

? The Lambda function should find unattached EBS volumes and tag them with the

current date, and delete unattached volumes that have tags with dates that are more than 14 days old. The Lambda function can use the EC2 API to list and filter unattached EBS volumes based on their state and tags. The function can then tag each unattached volume with the current date using the create-tags command.

The function can also compare the tag value with the current date and delete any unattached volume that has been tagged more than 14 days ago using the delete-volume command.

NEW QUESTION 65

A company needs to ensure that flow logs remain configured for all existing and new VPCs in its AWS account. The company uses an AWS CloudFormation stack to manage its VPCs. The company needs a solution that will work for any VPCs that any IAM user creates.

Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Add the resource to the CloudFormation stack that creates the VPCs.
- B. Create an organization in AWS Organization
- C. Add the company's AWS account to the organization
- D. Create an SCP to prevent users from modifying VPC flow logs.
- E. Turn on AWS Config
- F. Create an AWS Config rule to check whether VPC flow logs are turned on
- G. Configure automatic remediation to turn on VPC flow logs.
- H. Create an IAM policy to deny the use of API calls for VPC flow logs
- I. Attach the IAM policy to all IAM users.

Answer: C

Explanation:

To meet the requirements of ensuring that flow logs remain configured for all existing and new VPCs in the AWS account, the company should use AWS Config and automatic remediation. AWS Config is a service that enables customers to assess, audit, and evaluate the configurations of their AWS resources. AWS Config continuously monitors and records the configuration changes of the AWS resources and evaluates them against desired configurations. Customers can use AWS Config rules to define the desired configuration state of their AWS resources and trigger actions when a resource configuration violates a rule.

One of the AWS Config rules that customers can use is vpc-flow-logs-enabled, which checks whether VPC flow logs are enabled for all VPCs in an AWS account. Customers can also configure automatic remediation for this rule, which means that AWS Config will automatically enable VPC flow logs for any VPCs that do not have them enabled. Customers can specify the destination (CloudWatch Logs or S3) and the traffic type (all, accept, or reject) for the flow logs as remediation parameters. By using AWS Config and automatic remediation, the company can ensure that flow logs remain configured for all existing and new VPCs in its AWS account, regardless of who creates them or how they are created.

The other options are not correct because they do not meet the requirements or follow best practices. Adding the resource to the CloudFormation stack that creates the VPCs is not a sufficient solution because it will only work for VPCs that are created by using the CloudFormation stack. It will not work for VPCs that are created by using other methods, such as the console or the API. Creating an organization in AWS Organizations and creating an SCP to prevent users from modifying VPC flow logs is not a good solution because it will not ensure that flow logs are enabled for all VPCs in the first place. It will only prevent users from disabling or changing flow logs after they are enabled. Creating an IAM policy to deny the use of API calls for VPC flow logs and attaching it to all IAM users is not a valid solution because it will prevent users from enabling or disabling flow logs at all.

It will also not work for VPCs that are created by using other methods, such as the console or CloudFormation.

References:

? 1: AWS::EC2::FlowLog - AWS CloudFormation

? 2: Amazon VPC Flow Logs extends CloudFormation Support to custom format subscriptions, 1-minute aggregation intervals and tagging

? 3: Logging IP traffic using VPC Flow Logs - Amazon Virtual Private Cloud

? : About AWS Config - AWS Config

? : vpc-flow-logs-enabled - AWS Config

? : Remediate Noncompliant Resources with AWS Config Rules - AWS Config

NEW QUESTION 69

A company has a guideline that every Amazon EC2 instance must be launched from an AMI that the company's security team produces. Every month the security team sends an email message with the latest approved AMIs to all the development teams.

The development teams use AWS CloudFormation to deploy their applications. When developers launch a new service they have to search their email for the latest AMIs that the security department sent. A DevOps engineer wants to automate the process that the security team uses to provide the AMI IDs to the development teams.

What is the MOST scalable solution that meets these requirements?

- A. Direct the security team to use CloudFormation to create new versions of the AMIs and to list the AMI ARNs in an encrypted Amazon S3 object as part of the stack's Outputs Section. Instruct the developers to use a cross-stack reference to load the encrypted S3 object and obtain the most recent AMI ARNs.
- B. Direct the security team to use a CloudFormation stack to create an AWS CodePipeline pipeline that builds new AMIs and places the latest AMI ARNs in an encrypted Amazon S3 object as part of the pipeline output. Instruct the developers to use a cross-stack reference within their own CloudFormation template to obtain the S3 object location and the most recent AMI ARNs.
- C. Direct the security team to use Amazon EC2 Image Builder to create new AMIs and to place the AMI ARNs as parameters in AWS Systems Manager Parameter Store. Instruct the developers to specify a parameter of type SSM in their CloudFormation stack to obtain the most recent AMI ARNs from Parameter Store.
- D. Direct the security team to use Amazon EC2 Image Builder to create new AMIs and to create an Amazon Simple Notification Service (Amazon SNS) topic so

that every development team can receive notification

E. When the development teams receive a notification instruct them to write an AWS Lambda function that will update their CloudFormation stack with the most recent AMI ARNs.

Answer: C

Explanation:

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AWSCloudFormation/latest/UserGuide/dynamic-references.html>

NEW QUESTION 71

A DevOps engineer is building a continuous deployment pipeline for a serverless application that uses AWS Lambda functions. The company wants to reduce the customer impact of an unsuccessful deployment. The company also wants to monitor for issues.

Which deploy stage configuration will meet these requirements?

- A. Use an AWS Serverless Application Model (AWS SAM) template to define the serverless applicatio
- B. Use AWS CodeDeploy to deploy the Lambda functions with the Canary10Percent15Minutes Deployment Preference Typ
- C. Use Amazon CloudWatch alarms to monitor the health of the functions.
- D. Use AWS CloudFormation to publish a new stack update, and include Amazon CloudWatch alarms on all resource
- E. Set up an AWS CodePipeline approval action for a developer to verify and approve the AWS CloudFormation change set.
- F. Use AWS CloudFormation to publish a new version on every stack update, and include Amazon CloudWatch alarms on all resource
- G. Use the RoutingConfig property of the AWS::Lambda::Alias resource to update the traffic routing during the stack update.
- H. Use AWS CodeBuild to add sample event payloads for testing to the Lambda function
- I. Publish a new version of the functions, and include Amazon CloudWatch alarm
- J. Update the production alias to point to the new versio
- K. Configure rollbacks to occur when an alarm is in the ALARM state.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Use routing configuration on an alias to send a portion of traffic to a second function version. For example, you can reduce the risk of deploying a new version by configuring the alias to send most of the traffic to the existing version, and only a small percentage of traffic to the new version.

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/lambda/latest/dg/configuration-aliases.html>

The following are the steps involved in the deploy stage configuration that will meet the requirements:

? Use AWS CodeBuild to add sample event payloads for testing to the Lambda functions.

? Publish a new version of the functions, and include Amazon CloudWatch alarms.

? Update the production alias to point to the new version.

? Configure rollbacks to occur when an alarm is in the ALARM state.

This configuration will help to reduce the customer impact of an unsuccessful deployment

by deploying the new version of the functions to a staging environment first. This will allow the DevOps engineer to test the new version of the functions before deploying it to production.

The configuration will also help to monitor for issues by including Amazon CloudWatch alarms. These alarms will alert the DevOps engineer if there are any problems with the new version of the functions.

NEW QUESTION 75

A company uses an organization in AWS Organizations that has all features enabled. The company uses AWS Backup in a primary account and uses an AWS Key Management Service (AWS KMS) key to encrypt the backups.

The company needs to automate a cross-account backup of the resources that AWS Backup backs up in the primary account. The company configures cross-account backup in the Organizations management account. The company creates a new AWS account in the organization and configures an AWS Backup backup vault in the new account. The company creates a KMS key in the new account to encrypt the backups. Finally, the company configures a new backup plan in the primary account. The destination for the new backup plan is the backup vault in the new account.

When the AWS Backup job in the primary account is invoked, the job creates backups in the primary account. However, the backups are not copied to the new account's backup vault.

Which combination of steps must the company take so that backups can be copied to the new account's backup vault? (Select TWO.)

- A. Edit the backup vault access policy in the new account to allow access to the primary account.
- B. Edit the backup vault access policy in the primary account to allow access to the new account.
- C. Edit the backup vault access policy in the primary account to allow access to the KMS key in the new account.
- D. Edit the key policy of the KMS key in the primary account to share the key with the new account.
- E. Edit the key policy of the KMS key in the new account to share the key with the primary account.

Answer: AE

Explanation:

To enable cross-account backup, the company needs to grant permissions to both the backup vault and the KMS key in the destination account. The backup vault access policy in the destination account must allow the primary account to copy backups into the vault. The key policy of the KMS key in the destination account must allow the primary account to use the key to encrypt and decrypt the backups. These steps are described in the AWS documentation¹². Therefore, the correct answer is A and E.

References:

? 1: Creating backup copies across AWS accounts - AWS Backup

? 2: Using AWS Backup with AWS Organizations - AWS Backup

NEW QUESTION 78

A company is hosting a web application in an AWS Region. For disaster recovery purposes, a second region is being used as a standby. Disaster recovery requirements state that session data must be replicated between regions in near-real time and 1% of requests should route to the secondary region to continuously verify system functionality. Additionally, if there is a disruption in service in the main region, traffic should be automatically routed to the secondary region, and the secondary region must be able to

scale up to handle all traffic.

How should a DevOps engineer meet these requirements?

- A. In both regions, deploy the application on AWS Elastic Beanstalk and use Amazon DynamoDB global tables for session data
- B. Use an Amazon Route 53 weighted routing policy with health checks to distribute the traffic across the regions.
- C. In both regions, launch the application in Auto Scaling groups and use DynamoDB for session data
- D. Use a Route 53 failover routing policy with health checks to distribute the traffic across the regions.
- E. In both regions, deploy the application in AWS Lambda, exposed by Amazon API Gateway, and use Amazon RDS for PostgreSQL with cross-region replication for session data
- F. Deploy the web application with client-side logic to call the API Gateway directly.
- G. In both regions, launch the application in Auto Scaling groups and use DynamoDB global tables for session data
- H. Enable an Amazon CloudFront weighted distribution across region
- I. Point the Amazon Route 53 DNS record at the CloudFront distribution.

Answer: D

NEW QUESTION 80

A highly regulated company has a policy that DevOps engineers should not log in to their Amazon EC2 instances except in emergencies. If a DevOps engineer does log in the security team must be notified within 15 minutes of the occurrence. Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Install the Amazon Inspector agent on each EC2 instance Subscribe to Amazon EventBridge notifications Invoke an AWS Lambda function to check if a message is about user logins If it is send a notification to the security team using Amazon SNS.
- B. Install the Amazon CloudWatch agent on each EC2 instance Configure the agent to push all logs to Amazon CloudWatch Logs and set up a CloudWatch metric filter that searches for user login
- C. If a login is found send a notification to the security team using Amazon SNS.
- D. Set up AWS CloudTrail with Amazon CloudWatch Log
- E. Subscribe CloudWatch Logs to Amazon Kinesis Attach AWS Lambda to Kinesis to parse and determine if a log contains a user login If it does, send a notification to the security team using Amazon SNS.
- F. Set up a script on each Amazon EC2 instance to push all logs to Amazon S3 Set up an S3 event to invoke an AWS Lambda function which invokes an Amazon Athena query to run
- G. The Athena query checks for logins and sends the output to the security team using Amazon SNS.

Answer: B

Explanation:

<https://aws.amazon.com/blogs/security/how-to-monitor-and-visualize-failed-ssh-access-attempts-to-amazon-ec2-linux-instances/>

NEW QUESTION 83

A company uses AWS CodeArtifact to centrally store Python packages. The CodeArtifact repository is configured with the following repository policy.

```
{
  "Version": "2012-10-17",
  "Statement": [
    {
      "Action": [
        "codeartifact:DescribePackageVersion",
        "codeartifact:DescribeRepository",
        "codeartifact:GetPackageVersionReadme",
        "codeartifact:GetRepositoryEndpoint",
        "codeartifact:ListPackageVersionAssets",
        "codeartifact:ListPackageVersionDependencies",
        "codeartifact:ListPackageVersions",
        "codeartifact:ListPackages",
        "codeartifact:ReadFromRepository"
      ],
      "Effect": "Allow",
      "Resource": "*",
      "Principal": "*",
      "Condition": {
        "StringEquals": {
          "aws:PrincipalOrgID": [
            "o-xxxxxxxxxxxx"
          ]
        }
      }
    }
  ]
}
```

A development team is building a new project in an account that is in an organization in AWS Organizations. The development team wants to use a Python library that has already been stored in the CodeArtifact repository in the organization. The development team uses AWS CodePipeline and AWS CodeBuild to build the new application. The CodeBuild job that the development team uses to build the application is configured to run in a VPC. Because of compliance requirements the VPC has no internet connectivity.

The development team creates the VPC endpoints for CodeArtifact and updates the CodeBuild buildspec yaml file. However, the development team cannot download the Python library from the repository.

Which combination of steps should a DevOps engineer take so that the development team can use Code Artifact? (Select TWO.)

- A. Create an Amazon S3 gateway endpoint Update the route tables for the subnets that are running the CodeBuild job.

- B. Update the repository policy's Principal statement to include the ARN of the role that the CodeBuild project uses.
- C. Share the CodeArtifact repository with the organization by using AWS Resource Access Manager (AWS RAM).
- D. Update the role that the CodeBuild project uses so that the role has sufficient permissions to use the CodeArtifact repository.
- E. Specify the account that hosts the repository as the delegated administrator for CodeArtifact in the organization.

Answer: AD

Explanation:

"AWS CodeArtifact operates in multiple Availability Zones and stores artifact data and metadata in Amazon S3 and Amazon DynamoDB. Your encrypted data is redundantly stored across multiple facilities and multiple devices in each facility, making it highly available and highly durable."
<https://aws.amazon.com/codeartifact/features/> With no internet connectivity, a gateway endpoint becomes necessary to access S3.

NEW QUESTION 84

A company wants to use a grid system for a proprietary enterprise m-memory data store on top of AWS. This system can run in multiple server nodes in any Linux-based distribution. The system must be able to reconfigure the entire cluster every time a node is added or removed. When adding or removing nodes an `/etc./cluster/nodes` config file must be updated listing the IP addresses of the current node members of that cluster.

The company wants to automate the task of adding new nodes to a cluster. What can a DevOps engineer do to meet these requirements?

- A. Use AWS OpsWorks Stacks to layer the server nodes of that cluste
- B. Create a Chef recipe that populates the content of the `'etc./cluster/nodes` config file and restarts the service by using the current members of the laye
- C. Assign that recipe to the Configure lifecycle event.
- D. Put the file nodes config in version contro
- E. Create an AWS CodeDeploy deployment configuration and deployment group based on an Amazon EC2 tag value for thecluster node
- F. When adding a new node to the cluster update the file with all tagged instances and make a commit in version contro
- G. Deploy the new file and restart the services.
- H. Create an Amazon S3 bucket and upload a version of the `/etc./cluster/nodes` config file Create a crontab script that will poll for that S3 file and download it frequentl
- I. Use a process manager such as Monit or system, to restart the cluster services when it detects that the new file was modifie
- J. When adding a node to the cluster edit the file's most recent members Upload the new file to the S3 bucket.
- K. Create a user data script that lists all members of the current security group of the cluster and automatically updates the `/etc/cluster/. nodes confi`
- L. Tile whenever a new instance is added to the cluster.

Answer: A

Explanation:

You can run custom recipes manually, but the best approach is usually to have AWS OpsWorks Stacks run them automatically. Every layer has a set of built-in recipes assigned each of five lifecycle events—Setup, Configure, Deploy, Undeploy, and Shutdown. Each time an event occurs for an instance, AWS OpsWorks Stacks runs the associated recipes for each of the instance's layers, which handle the corresponding tasks. For example, when an instance finishes booting, AWS OpsWorks Stacks triggers a Setup event. This event runs the associated layer's Setup recipes, which typically handle tasks such as installing and configuring packages

NEW QUESTION 86

A company has a single AWS account that runs hundreds of Amazon EC2 instances in a single AWS Region. New EC2 instances are launched and terminated each hour in the account. The account also includes existing EC2 instances that have been running for longer than a week.

The company's security policy requires all running EC2 instances to use an EC2 instance profile. If an EC2 instance does not have an instance profile attached, the EC2 instance must use a default instance profile that has no IAM permissions assigned.

A DevOps engineer reviews the account and discovers EC2 instances that are running without an instance profile. During the review, the DevOps engineer also observes that new EC2 instances are being launched without an instance profile.

Which solution will ensure that an instance profile is attached to all existing and future EC2 instances in the Region?

- A. Configure an Amazon EventBridge rule that reacts to EC2 RunInstances API call
- B. Configure the rule to invoke an AWS Lambda function to attach the default instance profile to the EC2 instances.
- C. Configure the `ec2-instance-profile-attached` AWS Config managed rule with a trigger type of configuration change
- D. Configure an automatic remediation action that invokes an AWS Systems Manager Automation runbook to attach the default instance profile to the EC2 instances.
- E. Configure an Amazon EventBridge rule that reacts to EC2 StartInstances API call
- F. Configure the rule to invoke an AWS Systems Manager Automation runbook to attach the default instance profile to the EC2 instances.
- G. Configure the `iam-role-managed-policy-check` AWS Config managed rule with a trigger type of configuration change
- H. Configure an automatic remediation action that invokes an AWS Lambda function to attach the default instance profile to the EC2 instances.

Answer: B

Explanation:

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/config/latest/developerguide/ec2-instance-profile-attached.html>

NEW QUESTION 90

A DevOps engineer is architecting a continuous development strategy for a company's software as a service (SaaS) web application running on AWS. For application and security reasons users subscribing to this application are distributed across multiple. Application Load Balancers (ALBs) each of which has a dedicated Auto Scaling group and fleet of Amazon EC2 instances The application does not require a build stage and when it is committed to AWS CodeCommit, the application must trigger a simultaneous deployment to all ALBs Auto Scaling groups and EC2 fleets.

Which architecture will meet these requirements with the LEAST amount of configuration?

- A. Create a single AWS CodePipeline pipeline that deploys the application in parallel using unique AWS CodeDeploy applications and deployment groups created for each ALB-Auto Scaling group pair.
- B. Create a single AWS CodePipeline pipeline that deploys the application using a single AWS CodeDeploy application and single deployment group.
- C. Create a single AWS CodePipeline pipeline that deploys the application in parallel using a single AWS CodeDeploy application and unique deployment group for each ALB-Auto Scaling group pair.
- D. Create an AWS CodePipeline pipeline for each ALB-Auto Scaling group pair that deploys the application using an AWS CodeDeploy application and deployment group created for the same ALB-Auto Scaling group pair.

Answer: C

Explanation:

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/codedeploy/latest/userguide/deployment-groups.html>

NEW QUESTION 95

A company uses AWS Secrets Manager to store a set of sensitive API keys that an AWS Lambda function uses. When the Lambda function is invoked, the Lambda function retrieves the API keys and makes an API call to an external service. The Secrets Manager secret is encrypted with the default AWS Key Management Service (AWS KMS) key.

A DevOps engineer needs to update the infrastructure to ensure that only the Lambda function's execution role can access the values in Secrets Manager. The solution must apply the principle of least privilege.

Which combination of steps will meet these requirements? (Select TWO.)

- A. Update the default KMS key for Secrets Manager to allow only the Lambda function's execution role to decrypt.
- B. Create a KMS customer managed key that trusts Secrets Manager and allows the Lambda function's execution role to decrypt
- C. Update Secrets Manager to use the new customer managed key.
- D. Create a KMS customer managed key that trusts Secrets Manager and allows the account's :root principal to decrypt
- E. Update Secrets Manager to use the new customer managed key.
- F. Ensure that the Lambda function's execution role has the KMS permissions scoped on the resource level
- G. Configure the permissions so that the KMS key can encrypt the Secrets Manager secret.
- H. Remove all KMS permissions from the Lambda function's execution role.

Answer: BD

Explanation:

The requirement is to update the infrastructure to ensure that only the Lambda function's execution role can access the values in Secrets Manager. The solution must apply the principle of least privilege, which means granting the minimum permissions necessary to perform a task.

To do this, the DevOps engineer needs to use the following steps:

? Create a KMS customer managed key that trusts Secrets Manager and allows the Lambda function's execution role to decrypt. A customer managed key is a symmetric encryption key that is fully managed by the customer. The customer can define the key policy, which specifies who can use and manage the key. By creating a customer managed key, the DevOps engineer can restrict the decryption permission to only the Lambda function's execution role, and prevent other principals from accessing the secret values. The customer managed key also needs to trust Secrets Manager, which means allowing Secrets Manager to use the key to encrypt and decrypt secrets on behalf of the customer.

? Update Secrets Manager to use the new customer managed key. Secrets Manager allows customers to choose which KMS key to use for encrypting each secret. By default, Secrets Manager uses the default KMS key for Secrets Manager, which is a service-managed key that is shared by all customers in the same AWS Region. By updating Secrets Manager to use the new customer managed key, the DevOps engineer can ensure that only the Lambda function's execution role can decrypt the secret values using that key.

? Ensure that the Lambda function's execution role has the KMS permissions scoped on the resource level. The Lambda function's execution role is an IAM role that grants permissions to the Lambda function to access AWS services and resources. The role needs to have KMS permissions to use the customer managed key for decryption. However, to apply the principle of least privilege, the role should have the permissions scoped on the resource level, which means specifying the ARN of the customer managed key as a condition in the IAM policy statement. This way, the role can only use that specific key and not any other KMS keys in the account.

NEW QUESTION 100

A developer is maintaining a fleet of 50 Amazon EC2 Linux servers. The servers are part of an Amazon EC2 Auto Scaling group, and also use Elastic Load Balancing for load balancing.

Occasionally, some application servers are being terminated after failing ELB HTTP health checks. The developer would like to perform a root cause analysis on the issue, but before being able to access application logs, the server is terminated.

How can log collection be automated?

- A. Use Auto Scaling lifecycle hooks to put instances in a Pending:Wait state
- B. Create an Amazon CloudWatch alarm for EC2 Instance Terminate Successful and trigger an AWS Lambda function that invokes an SSM Run Command script to collect logs, push them to Amazon S3, and complete the lifecycle action once logs are collected.
- C. Use Auto Scaling lifecycle hooks to put instances in a Terminating:Wait state
- D. Create an AWS Config rule for EC2 Instance-terminate Lifecycle Action and trigger a step function that invokes a script to collect logs, push them to Amazon S3, and complete the lifecycle action once logs are collected.
- E. Use Auto Scaling lifecycle hooks to put instances in a Terminating:Wait state
- F. Create an Amazon CloudWatch subscription filter for EC2 Instance Terminate Successful and trigger a CloudWatch agent that invokes a script to collect logs, push them to Amazon S3, and complete the lifecycle action once logs are collected.
- G. Use Auto Scaling lifecycle hooks to put instances in a Terminating:Wait state
- H. Create an Amazon EventBridge rule for EC2 Instance-terminate Lifecycle Action and trigger an AWS Lambda function that invokes an SSM Run Command script to collect logs, push them to Amazon S3, and complete the lifecycle action once logs are collected.

Answer: D

Explanation:

<https://blog.fourninecloud.com/auto-scaling-lifecycle-hooks-to-export-server-logs-when-instance-terminating-58e06d7c0d6a>

NEW QUESTION 103

A company updated the AWS CloudFormation template for a critical business application. The stack update process failed due to an error in the updated template and AWS CloudFormation automatically began the stack rollback process. Later a DevOps engineer discovered that the application was still unavailable and that the stack was in the UPDATE_ROLLBACK_FAILED state.

Which combination of actions should the DevOps engineer perform so that the stack rollback can complete successfully? (Select TWO.)

- A. Attach the AWS CloudFormation FullAccess IAM policy to the AWS CloudFormation role.
- B. Automatically recover the stack resources by using AWS CloudFormation drift detection.
- C. Issue a ContinueUpdateRollback command from the AWS CloudFormation console or the AWS CLI.
- D. Manually adjust the resources to match the expectations of the stack.
- E. Update the existing AWS CloudFormation stack by using the original template.

Answer: CD

Explanation:

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/cli/latest/reference/cloudformation/continue-update-rollback.html> For a specified stack that is in the UPDATE_ROLLBACK_FAILED state, continues rolling it back to the UPDATE_ROLLBACK_COMPLETE state. Depending on the cause of the failure, you can manually fix the error and continue the rollback. By continuing the rollback, you can return your stack to a working state (the UPDATE_ROLLBACK_COMPLETE state), and then try to update the stack again.

NEW QUESTION 108

A company wants to use AWS CloudFormation for infrastructure deployment. The company has strict tagging and resource requirements and wants to limit the deployment to two Regions. Developers will need to deploy multiple versions of the same application.

Which solution ensures resources are deployed in accordance with company policy?

- A. Create AWS Trusted Advisor checks to find and remediate unapproved CloudFormation StackSets.
- B. Create a CloudFormation drift detection operation to find and remediate unapproved CloudFormation StackSets.
- C. Create CloudFormation StackSets with approved CloudFormation templates.
- D. Create AWS Service Catalog products with approved CloudFormation templates.

Answer: D

Explanation:

service catalog uses stacksets and can enforce tag and restrict resources AWS Customer case with tag enforcement

<https://aws.amazon.com/ko/blogs/apn/enforce-centralized-tag-compliance-using-aws-service-catalog-amazon-dynamodb-aws-lambda-and-amazon-cloudwatch-events/> And Youtube video showing how to restrict resources per user with portfolio <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LzvhTcqyog>

NEW QUESTION 113

A company uses AWS Storage Gateway in file gateway mode in front of an Amazon S3 bucket that is used by multiple resources. In the morning when business begins, users do not see the objects processed by a third party the previous evening. When a DevOps engineer looks directly at the S3 bucket, the data is there, but it is missing in Storage Gateway.

Which solution ensures that all the updated third-party files are available in the morning?

- A. Configure a nightly Amazon EventBridge event to invoke an AWS Lambda function to run the RefreshCache command for Storage Gateway.
- B. Instruct the third party to put data into the S3 bucket using AWS Transfer for SFTP.
- C. Modify Storage Gateway to run in volume gateway mode.
- D. Use S3 Same-Region Replication to replicate any changes made directly in the S3 bucket to Storage Gateway.

Answer: A

Explanation:

https://docs.aws.amazon.com/storagegateway/latest/APIReference/API_RefreshCache.html " It only updates the cached inventory to reflect changes in the inventory of the objects in the S3 bucket. This operation is only supported in the S3 File Gateway types."

NEW QUESTION 115

A company is hosting a static website from an Amazon S3 bucket. The website is available to customers at example.com. The company uses an Amazon Route 53 weighted routing policy with a TTL of 1 day. The company has decided to replace the existing static website with a dynamic web application. The dynamic web application uses an Application Load Balancer (ALB) in front of a fleet of Amazon EC2 instances.

On the day of production launch to customers, the company creates an additional Route 53 weighted DNS record entry that points to the ALB with a weight of 255 and a TTL of 1 hour. Two days later, a DevOps engineer notices that the previous static website is displayed sometimes when customers navigate to example.com.

How can the DevOps engineer ensure that the company serves only dynamic content for example.com?

- A. Delete all objects, including previous versions, from the S3 bucket that contains the static website content.
- B. Update the weighted DNS record entry that points to the S3 bucket.
- C. Apply a weight of 0. Specify the domain reset option to propagate changes immediately.
- D. Configure webpage redirect requests on the S3 bucket with a hostname that redirects to the ALB.
- E. Remove the weighted DNS record entry that points to the S3 bucket from the example.com hosted zone.
- F. Wait for DNS propagation to become complete.

Answer: D

NEW QUESTION 118

A company needs to implement failover for its application. The application includes an Amazon CloudFront distribution and a public Application Load Balancer (ALB) in an AWS Region. The company has configured the ALB as the default origin for the distribution.

After some recent application outages, the company wants a zero-second RTO. The company deploys the application to a secondary Region in a warm standby configuration. A DevOps engineer needs to automate the failover of the application to the secondary Region so that HTTP GET requests meet the desired RTO. Which solution will meet these requirements?

- A. Create a second CloudFront distribution that has the secondary ALB as the default origin.
- B. Create Amazon Route 53 alias records that have a failover policy and Evaluate Target Health set to Yes for both CloudFront distributions.
- C. Update the application to use the new record set.
- D. Create a new origin on the distribution for the secondary ALB.
- E. Create a new origin group.
- F. Set the original ALB as the primary origin.
- G. Configure the origin group to fail over for HTTP 5xx status code.
- H. Update the default behavior to use the origin group.
- I. Create Amazon Route 53 alias records that have a failover policy and Evaluate Target Health set to Yes for both ALBs.
- J. Set the TTL of both records to 0. Update the distribution's origin to use the new record set.
- K. Create a CloudFront function that detects HTTP 5xx status code.
- L. Configure the function to return a 307 Temporary Redirect error response to the secondary ALB if the function detects 5xx status code.

M. Update the distribution's default behavior to send origin responses to the function.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The best solution to implement failover for the application is to use CloudFront origin groups. Origin groups allow CloudFront to automatically switch to a secondary origin when the primary origin is unavailable or returns specific HTTP status codes that indicate a failure¹. This way, CloudFront can serve the requests from the secondary ALB in the secondary Region without any delay or redirection. To set up origin groups, the DevOps engineer needs to create a new origin on the distribution for the secondary ALB, create a new origin group with the original ALB as the primary origin and the secondary ALB as the secondary origin, and configure the origin group to fail over for HTTP 5xx status

codes. Then, the DevOps engineer needs to update the default behavior to use the origin group instead of the single origin².

The other options are not as effective or efficient as the solution in option B. Option A is not suitable because creating a second CloudFront distribution will increase the complexity and cost of the application. Moreover, using Route 53 alias records with a failover policy will introduce some delay in detecting and switching to the secondary CloudFront distribution, which may not meet the zero-second RTO requirement. Option C is not feasible because CloudFront does not support using Route 53 alias records as origins³. Option D is not advisable because using a CloudFront function to redirect the requests to the secondary ALB will add an extra round-trip and latency to the failover process, which may also not meet the zero-second RTO requirement.

References:

? 1: Optimizing high availability with CloudFront origin failover - Amazon CloudFront

? 2: Creating an origin group - Amazon CloudFront

? 3: Values That You Specify When You Create or Update a Web Distribution - Amazon CloudFront

NEW QUESTION 120

A company's application uses a fleet of Amazon EC2 On-Demand Instances to analyze and process data. The EC2 instances are in an Auto Scaling group. The Auto Scaling group is a target group for an Application Load Balancer (ALB). The application analyzes critical data that cannot tolerate interruption. The application also analyzes noncritical data that can withstand interruption.

The critical data analysis requires quick scalability in response to real-time application demand. The noncritical data analysis involves memory consumption. A DevOps engineer must implement a solution that reduces scale-out latency for the critical data. The solution also must process the noncritical data.

Which combination of steps will meet these requirements? (Select TWO.)

- A. For the critical data, modify the existing Auto Scaling group
- B. Create a warm pool instance in the stopped state
- C. Define the warm pool size
- D. Create a new version of the launch template that has detailed monitoring enabled
- E. Use Spot Instances.
- F. For the critical data, modify the existing Auto Scaling group
- G. Create a warm pool instance in the stopped state
- H. Define the warm pool size
- I. Create a new version of the launch template that has detailed monitoring enabled
- J. Use On-Demand Instances.
- K. For the critical data
- L. modify the existing Auto Scaling group
- M. Create a lifecycle hook to ensure that bootstrap scripts are completed successfully
- N. Ensure that the application on the instances is ready to accept traffic before the instances are registered
- O. Create a new version of the launch template that has detailed monitoring enabled.
- P. For the noncritical data, create a second Auto Scaling group that uses a launch template
- Q. Configure the launch template to install the unified Amazon CloudWatch agent and to configure the CloudWatch agent with a custom memory utilization metric
- R. Use Spot Instance
- S. Add the new Auto Scaling group as the target group for the ALB
- T. Modify the application to use two target groups for critical data and noncritical data.
- . For the noncritical data, create a second Auto Scaling group
- . Choose the predefined memory utilization metric type for the target tracking scaling policy
- . Use Spot Instance
- . Add the new Auto Scaling group as the target group for the ALB
- . Modify the application to use two target groups for critical data and noncritical data.

Answer: BD

Explanation:

? For the critical data, using a warm pool¹ can reduce the scale-out latency by having pre-initialized EC2 instances ready to serve the application traffic. Using On-Demand Instances can ensure that the instances are always available and not interrupted by Spot interruptions².

? For the noncritical data, using a second Auto Scaling group with Spot Instances can reduce the cost and leverage the unused capacity of EC2³. Using a launch template with the CloudWatch agent⁴ can enable the collection of memory utilization metrics, which can be used to scale the group based on the memory demand. Adding the second group as a target group for the ALB and modifying the application to use two target groups can enable routing the traffic based on the data type.

References: 1: Warm pools for Amazon EC2 Auto Scaling 2: Amazon EC2 On-Demand Capacity Reservations 3: Amazon EC2 Spot Instances 4: Metrics collected by the CloudWatch agent

NEW QUESTION 123

A company builds an application that uses an Application Load Balancer in front of Amazon EC2 instances that are in an Auto Scaling group. The application is stateless. The Auto Scaling group uses a custom AMI that is fully prebuilt. The EC2 instances do not have a custom bootstrapping process.

The AMI that the Auto Scaling group uses was recently deleted. The Auto Scaling group's scaling activities show failures because the AMI ID does not exist.

Which combination of steps should a DevOps engineer take to meet these requirements? (Select THREE.)

- A. Create a new launch template that uses the new AMI.
- B. Update the Auto Scaling group to use the new launch template.
- C. Reduce the Auto Scaling group's desired capacity to 0.
- D. Increase the Auto Scaling group's desired capacity by 1.
- E. Create a new AMI from a running EC2 instance in the Auto Scaling group.
- F. Create a new AMI by copying the most recent public AMI of the operating system that the EC2 instances use.

Answer: ABF

Explanation:

To restore the functionality of the Auto Scaling group after the AMI was deleted, the DevOps engineer needs to create a new AMI and update the Auto Scaling group to use it. The DevOps engineer can create a new AMI by copying the most recent public AMI of the operating system that the EC2 instances use. This will ensure that the new AMI has the same operating system as the custom AMI that was deleted. The DevOps engineer can then create a new launch template that uses the new AMI and update the Auto Scaling group to use the new launch template. This will allow the Auto Scaling group to launch new instances with the new AMI.

NEW QUESTION 128

A company uses AWS Directory Service for Microsoft Active Directory as its identity provider (IdP). The company requires all infrastructure to be defined and deployed by AWS CloudFormation.

A DevOps engineer needs to create a fleet of Windows-based Amazon EC2 instances to host an application. The DevOps engineer has created a CloudFormation template that contains an EC2 launch template, IAM role, EC2 security group, and EC2 Auto Scaling group. The DevOps engineer must implement a solution that joins all EC2 instances to the domain of the AWS Managed Microsoft AD directory.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the MOST operational efficiency?

- A. In the CloudFormation template, create an AWS::SSM::Document resource that joins the EC2 instance to the AWS Managed Microsoft AD domain by using the parameters for the existing director
- B. Update the launch template to include the SSMAssociation property to use the new SSM document
- C. Attach the AmazonSSMManagedInstanceCore and AmazonSSMDirectoryServiceAccess AWS managed policies to the IAM role that the EC2 instances use.
- D. In the CloudFormation template, update the launch template to include specific tags that propagate on launch
- E. Create an AWS::SSM::Association resource to associate the AWS- JoinDirectoryServiceDomain Automation runbook with the EC2 instances that have the specified tag
- F. Define the required parameters to join the AWS Managed Microsoft AD director
- G. Attach the AmazonSSMManagedInstanceCore and AmazonSSMDirectoryServiceAccess AWS managed policies to the IAM role that the EC2 instances use.
- H. Store the existing AWS Managed Microsoft AD domain connection details in AWS Secrets Manager
- I. In the CloudFormation template, create an AWS::SSM::Association resource to associate the AWS-CreateManagedWindowsInstanceWithApproval Automation runbook with the EC2 Auto Scaling group
- J. Pass the ARNs for the parameters from Secrets Manager to join the domain
- K. Attach the AmazonSSMDirectoryServiceAccess and SecretsManagerReadWrite AWS managed policies to the IAM role that the EC2 instances use.
- L. Store the existing AWS Managed Microsoft AD domain administrator credentials in AWS Secrets Manager
- M. In the CloudFormation template, update the EC2 launch template to include user data
- N. Configure the user data to pull the administrator credentials from Secrets Manager and to join the AWS Managed Microsoft AD domain
- O. Attach the AmazonSSMManagedInstanceCore and SecretsManagerReadWrite AWS managed policies to the IAM role that the EC2 instances use.

Answer: B

Explanation:

To meet the requirements, the DevOps engineer needs to create a solution that joins all EC2 instances to the domain of the AWS Managed Microsoft AD directory with the most operational efficiency. The DevOps engineer can use AWS Systems Manager Automation to automate the domain join process using an existing runbook called AWS- JoinDirectoryServiceDomain. This runbook can join Windows instances to an AWS Managed Microsoft AD or Simple AD directory by using PowerShell commands. The DevOps engineer can create an AWS::SSM::Association resource in the CloudFormation template to associate the runbook with the EC2 instances that have specific tags. The tags can be defined in the launch template and propagated on launch to the EC2 instances. The DevOps engineer can also define the required parameters for the runbook, such as the directory ID, directory name, and organizational unit. The DevOps engineer can attach the AmazonSSMManagedInstanceCore and AmazonSSMDirectoryServiceAccess AWS managed policies to the IAM role that the EC2 instances use. These policies grant the necessary permissions for Systems Manager and Directory Service operations.

NEW QUESTION 133

A company's security team requires that all external Application Load Balancers (ALBs) and Amazon API Gateway APIs are associated with AWS WAF web ACLs. The company

has hundreds of AWS accounts, all of which are included in a single organization in AWS Organizations. The company has configured AWS Config for the organization. During an audit, the company finds some externally facing ALBs that are not associated with AWS WAF web ACLs.

Which combination of steps should a DevOps engineer take to prevent future violations? (Choose two.)

- A. Delegate AWS Firewall Manager to a security account.
- B. Delegate Amazon GuardDuty to a security account.
- C. Create an AWS Firewall Manager policy to attach AWS WAF web ACLs to any newly created ALBs and API Gateway APIs.
- D. Create an Amazon GuardDuty policy to attach AWS WAF web ACLs to any newly created ALBs and API Gateway APIs.
- E. Configure an AWS Config managed rule to attach AWS WAF web ACLs to any newly created ALBs and API Gateway APIs.

Answer: AC

Explanation:

If instead you want to automatically apply the policy to existing in-scope resources, choose Auto remediate any noncompliant resources. This option creates a web ACL in each applicable account within the AWS organization and associates the web ACL with the resources in the accounts. When you choose Auto remediate any noncompliant resources, you can also choose to remove existing web ACL associations from in-scope resources, for the web ACLs that aren't managed by another active Firewall Manager policy. If you choose this option, Firewall Manager first associates the policy's web ACL with the resources, and then removes the prior associations. If a resource has an association with another web ACL that's managed by a different active Firewall Manager policy, this choice doesn't affect that association.

NEW QUESTION 135

A company is using AWS to run digital workloads. Each application team in the company has its own AWS account for application hosting. The accounts are consolidated in an organization in AWS Organizations.

The company wants to enforce security standards across the entire organization. To avoid noncompliance because of security misconfiguration, the company has enforced the use of AWS CloudFormation. A production support team can modify resources in the production environment by using the AWS Management Console to troubleshoot and resolve application-related issues.

A DevOps engineer must implement a solution to identify in near real time any AWS

service misconfiguration that results in noncompliance. The solution must automatically remediate the issue within 15 minutes of identification. The solution also must track noncompliant resources and events in a centralized dashboard with accurate timestamps.

Which solution will meet these requirements with the LEAST development overhead?

- A. Use CloudFormation drift detection to identify noncompliant resource
- B. Use drift detection events from CloudFormation to invoke an AWS Lambda function for remediation
- C. Configure the Lambda function to publish logs to an Amazon CloudWatch Logs log group
- D. Configure an Amazon CloudWatch dashboard to use the log group for tracking.
- E. Turn on AWS CloudTrail in the AWS account
- F. Analyze CloudTrail logs by using Amazon Athena to identify noncompliant resource
- G. Use AWS Step Functions to track query results on Athena for drift detection and to invoke an AWS Lambda function for remediation
- H. For tracking, set up an Amazon QuickSight dashboard that uses Athena as the data source.
- I. Turn on the configuration recorder in AWS Config in all the AWS accounts to identify noncompliant resource
- J. Enable AWS Security Hub with the `--no-enable-default-standards` option in all the AWS account
- K. Set up AWS Config managed rules and custom rule
- L. Set up automatic remediation by using AWS Config conformance pack
- M. For tracking, set up a dashboard on Security Hub in a designated Security Hub administrator account.
- N. Turn on AWS CloudTrail in the AWS account
- O. Analyze CloudTrail logs by using Amazon CloudWatch Logs to identify noncompliant resource
- P. Use CloudWatch Logs filters for drift detection
- Q. Use Amazon EventBridge to invoke the Lambda function for remediation
- R. Stream filtered CloudWatch logs to Amazon OpenSearch Service
- S. Set up a dashboard on OpenSearch Service for tracking.

Answer: C

Explanation:

The best solution is to use AWS Config and AWS Security Hub to identify and remediate noncompliant resources across multiple AWS accounts. AWS Config enables continuous monitoring of the configuration of AWS resources and evaluates them against desired configurations. AWS Config can also automatically remediate noncompliant resources by using conformance packs, which are a collection of AWS Config rules and remediation actions that can be deployed as a single entity. AWS Security Hub provides a comprehensive view of the security posture of AWS accounts and resources. AWS Security Hub can aggregate and normalize the findings from AWS Config and other AWS services, as well as from partner solutions. AWS Security Hub can also be used to create a dashboard for tracking noncompliant resources and events in a centralized location.

The other options are not optimal because they either require more development overhead, do not provide near real time detection and remediation, or do not provide a centralized dashboard for tracking.

Option A is not optimal because CloudFormation drift detection is not a near real time solution. Drift detection has to be manually initiated on each stack or resource, or scheduled using a cron expression. Drift detection also does not provide remediation actions, so a custom Lambda function has to be developed and invoked. CloudWatch Logs and dashboard can be used for tracking, but they do not provide a comprehensive view of the security posture of the AWS accounts and resources.

Option B is not optimal because CloudTrail logs analysis using Athena is not a near real time solution. Athena queries have to be manually run or scheduled using a cron expression. Athena also does not provide remediation actions, so a custom Lambda function has to be developed and invoked. Step Functions can be used to orchestrate the query and remediation workflow, but it adds more complexity and cost. QuickSight dashboard can be used for tracking, but it does not provide a comprehensive view of the security posture of the AWS accounts and resources.

Option D is not optimal because CloudTrail logs analysis using CloudWatch Logs is not a near real time solution. CloudWatch Logs filters have to be manually created or updated for each resource type and configuration change. CloudWatch Logs also does not provide remediation actions, so a custom Lambda function has to be developed and invoked. EventBridge can be used to trigger the Lambda function, but it adds more complexity and cost. OpenSearch Service dashboard can be used for tracking, but it does not provide a comprehensive view of the security posture of the AWS accounts and resources. References:

? AWS Config conformance packs

? Introducing AWS Config conformance packs

? Managing conformance packs across all accounts in your organization

NEW QUESTION 138

A company is using an AWS CodeBuild project to build and package an application. The packages are copied to a shared Amazon S3 bucket before being deployed across multiple AWS accounts.

The `buildspec.yml` file contains the following:

```
version: 0.2
phases:
  build:
    commands:
      - go build -o myapp
  post_build:
    commands:
      - aws s3 cp --acl authenticated-read myapp s3://artifacts/
```

The DevOps engineer has noticed that anybody with an AWS account is able to download the artifacts.

What steps should the DevOps engineer take to stop this?

- A. Modify the `post_build` command to use `--acl public-read` and configure a bucket policy that grants read access to the relevant AWS accounts only.
- B. Configure a default ACL for the S3 bucket that defines the set of authenticated users as the relevant AWS accounts only and grants read-only access.
- C. Create an S3 bucket policy that grants read access to the relevant AWS accounts and denies read access to the principal `"*"`.
- D. Modify the `post_build` command to remove `--acl authenticated-read` and configure a bucket policy that allows read access to the relevant AWS accounts only.

Answer: D

Explanation:

When setting the flag `authenticated-read` in the command line, the owner gets `FULL_CONTROL`. The `AuthenticatedUsers` group (Anyone with an AWS account) gets `READ` access. Reference: <https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonS3/latest/userguide/acl-overview.html>

NEW QUESTION 143

A DevOps engineer is setting up a container-based architecture. The engineer has decided to use AWS CloudFormation to automatically provision an Amazon ECS cluster and an Amazon EC2 Auto Scaling group to launch the EC2 container instances. After successfully creating the CloudFormation stack, the engineer noticed that, even though the ECS cluster and the EC2 instances were created successfully and the stack finished the creation, the EC2 instances were

associating with a different cluster.

How should the DevOps engineer update the CloudFormation template to resolve this issue?

- A. Reference the EC2 instances in the AWS: ECS: Cluster resource and reference the ECS cluster in the AWS: ECS: Service resource.
- B. Reference the ECS cluster in the AWS: AutoScaling: LaunchConfiguration resource of the UserData property.
- C. Reference the ECS cluster in the AWS:EC2: Instance resource of the UserData property.
- D. Reference the ECS cluster in the AWS: CloudFormation: CustomResource resource to trigger an AWS Lambda function that registers the EC2 instances with the appropriate ECS cluster.

Answer: B

Explanation:

The UserData property of the AWS: AutoScaling: LaunchConfiguration resource can be used to specify a script that runs when the EC2 instances are launched. This script can include the ECS cluster name as an environment variable for the ECS agent running on the EC2 instances. This way, the EC2 instances will register with the correct ECS cluster. Option A is incorrect because the AWS: ECS: Cluster resource does not have a property to reference the EC2 instances. Option C is incorrect because the EC2 instances are launched by the Auto Scaling group, not by the AWS: EC2: Instance resource. Option D is incorrect because using a custom resource and a Lambda function is unnecessary and overly complex for this scenario. References: AWS::AutoScaling::LaunchConfiguration, Amazon ECS Container Agent Configuration

NEW QUESTION 145

A company that uses electronic health records is running a fleet of Amazon EC2 instances with an Amazon Linux operating system. As part of patient privacy requirements, the company must ensure continuous compliance for patches for operating system and applications running on the EC2 instances. How can the deployments of the operating system and application patches be automated using a default and custom repository?

- A. Use AWS Systems Manager to create a new patch baseline including the custom repository
- B. Run the AWS-RunPatchBaseline document using the run command to verify and install patches.
- C. Use AWS Direct Connect to integrate the corporate repository and deploy the patches using Amazon CloudWatch scheduled events, then use the CloudWatch dashboard to create reports.
- D. Use yum-config-manager to add the custom repository under /etc/yum.repos.d and run yum-config-manager-enable to activate the repository.
- E. Use AWS Systems Manager to create a new patch baseline including the corporate repository
- F. Run the AWS-AmazonLinuxDefaultPatchBaseline document using the run command to verify and install patches.

Answer: A

Explanation:

<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/systems-manager/latest/userguide/patch-manager-how-it-works-alt-source-repository.html>

NEW QUESTION 146

.....

Relate Links

100% Pass Your DOP-C02 Exam with Exam Bible Prep Materials

<https://www.exambible.com/DOP-C02-exam/>

Contact us

We are proud of our high-quality customer service, which serves you around the clock 24/7.

Viste - <https://www.exambible.com/>